



www.liicenter.org

Public Libraries and the Internet 2009: Study Results and Findings

John Carlo Bertot, Ph.D. Professor and Director of the Center for Library Innovation, University of Maryland

Charles R. McClure, Ph.D. Director and Francis Eppes Professor, Florida State University

Carla B. Wright, M.S. ALA Survey Manager

Elise Jensen, M.S. Research Associate

Susan Thomas, M.L.S. ALA Project Manager



Funded by the American Library Association and the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation

142 Collegiate Loop, P.O. Box 3062100, Tallahassee, FL 32306-2100 Telephone 850.645.5683 Fax 850.644.4522

STATE SUMMARIES

Introduction

The survey sampled and received responses from all 50 states and the District of Columbia. The survey did not, however, receive enough responses from all states to conduct state level analysis. The ensuing state tables provide selected summary survey data for the states for which there were adequate and representative responses (45 in all, plus the District of Columbia). States for which data analysis was not possible included Arkansas, Idaho, Michigan, Nebraska, and South Carolina.

The survey data were weighted to permit state projections. The weighting used was based on three variables:

- 1) Metropolitan status of libraries in the state (urban, suburban, and rural);
- 2) Calculated poverty of the population served by the libraries in the state (less than 20 percent, 20-40 percent, and greater than 40 percent); and
- 3) Total number of libraries in the state.

Thus, the data presented in the tables are statewide estimates. Additional detailed state data tables are available at www.ala.org/plinternetfunding.

Figure 74: Public Library Outlet Average Number of Hours Open and Change in Hours Open by State Hours Average Hours Hours stayed Average Average number of the same as number of number of increased decreased State hours open last fiscal hours hours since last since last per week fiscal year increased decreased fiscal year vear Alabama 43.5 9.5% 8.9% 81.6% 5.9 7.1 (n = 278)Alaska 2.0 32.2 9.2% 2.8% 88.1% 4.3 (n = 117)Arizona 52.0 4.0% 3.7% 92.2% 4.4 5.0 (n = 210)California 42.6 14.4% 7.5% 77.7% 6.0 6.8 (n = 1,099)Colorado 51.2 12.9% 85.4% 5.5 (n=242)Connecticut 44.0 6.9% 12.7% 80.4% 6.8 7.6 (n = 245)Delaware 50.9 4.6% 4.6% 90.7% 1.0 1.0 (n = 31)Florida 46.9 40.3% 5.3% 53.5% 3.3 7.6 (n = 497)Georgia 47.9 15.7% 2.1% 81.5% 2.9 5.0 (n = 341)Hawaii 87.7% 3.0 39.4 9.6% 2.7% 3.7 (n = 50)Illinois 7.7% 1.8% 86.9% 3.6 51.3 6.1 (n = 794)Indiana 48.4 7.4% 6.6% 85.4% 4.3 6.4 (n = 438)Iowa 36.8 10.8% 4.1% 84.0% 4.1 2.8 (n = 563)Kansas 36.8 10.9% 2.6% 85.2% 3.7 5.1 (n=360)Kentucky 52.1 87.1% 9.4% 3.6% 3.9 10.3 (n = 193)Louisiana 40.1 16.6% 2.2% 81.2% 4.7 4.0 (n = 335)Maine 32.8 84.1% 11.8% 3.3% 4.7 6.5 (n=281)Maryland 52.0 6.6% 93.4% 4.0 (n = 179)Massachusetts 41.3 7.7% 83.5% 8.8% 5.3 5.0 (n = 482)Minnesota 41.3 10.6% 83.7% 3.2 6.8 5.7% (n = 360)Mississippi 40.5 6.7% 1.0% 90.3% 3.7 3.0 (n = 241)Missouri 46.4 6.3% 1.1% 92.6% 4.0 9.5 (n = 358)Montana 35.4 11.4% 3.1% 85.5% 6.3 8.5 (n = 108)Nevada 40.7 3.0% 12.6% 84.4% 5.0 5.9 (n = 85)New Hampshire 1.9% 80.9% 37.4 17.2% 3.4 3.0 (n=237)

State	Average number of hours open per week	Hours increased since last fiscal year	Hours decreased since last fiscal year	Hours stayed the same as last fiscal year	Average number of hours increased	Average number of hours decreased
New Jersey (n = 454)	53.7	9.9%	4.1%	84.9%	6.0	3.7
New Mexico (n = 120)	46.9	19.2%		80.8%	5.0	
New York n = 1,069)	42.5	19.5%	2.9%	77.2%	4.1	5.6
North Carolina n = 380)	45.1	5.7%	2.8%	89.9%	4.9	4.2
North Dakota n= 91)	35.0	4.9%	2.4%	90.2%	4.0	4.0
Ohio n = 719)	54.5	4.2%	3.5%	92.3%	4.2	4.1
Oklahoma n = 207)	44.8	12.7%	1.9%	85.4%	4.3	2.5
Oregon n = 210)	37.5	13.4%	2.3%	83.5%	4.9	6.3
Pennsylvania n = 634)	49.0	10.1%	*	89.5%	5.2	1.0
Rhode Island n = 72)	41.9	5.2%		94.8%	4.0	
South Dakota n= 145)	36.5	8.8%	4.4%	85.3%	5.5	1.0
Tennessee n = 289)	43.1	3.2%	2.4%	94.4%	4.6	9.3
rexas n = 859)	44.5	11.2%	4.7%	82.7%	6.0	7.9
Jtah 'n = 113)	47.5	10.8%	7.0%	82.2%	4.1	4.0
/ermont n= 191)	31.0	11.4%	2.6%	86.0%	3.5	2.7
/irginia n= 341)	47.8	3.4%	6.8%	89.4%	4.7	5.2
Washington n= 330)	40.3	6.4%	*	92.1%	9.1	3.0
Washington, DC n = 27)	54.3			100%		
West Virginia n = 174)	43.2	12.9%	1.1%	85.9%	4.2	1.0
Visconsin n = 458)	46.1	10.9%	1.2%	87.9%	5.0	4.6
Nyoming n = 74)	35.8	1.8%	1.4%	96.9%	7.0	10.0
National	44.0 (n=16,180)	10.0% (n=1,623)	4.5% (n=727)	84.9% (n=13,729)	4.7 (n=1,624)	6.1 (n=729)

Key *=Insufficient data to report ---No data to report

Figure 74 presents the average numbers of hours libraries are open per week, as well as whether or not these hours had increased or decreased, and by how much. Florida had the highest percentage of libraries reporting a decrease in hours open over last year (40.3 percent), whereas New York had the most outlets reporting an increase in hours open (19.5 percent). Ohio and Washington, DC outlets are open a full 10 hours longer than the national average of 44 hours (54.5 hours and 54.3 hours, respectively), yet Vermont had the lowest average hours open, 31 hours, which represents 13 hours less than the national average. Similar to last year, the vast majority of libraries (85.5 percent) reported that their hours open had remained the same as the previous fiscal year.

State	Yes	No	Do not know	Other
Alabama n = 278)	76.7%	17.8%	5.4%	
Alaska n = 117)	88.6%	9.6%	1.8%	
Arizona n = 210)	45.2%	43.7%	8.2%	
California n = 1,099)	62.2%	21.3%	16.2%	*
Colorado (n= 242)	72.2%	24.9%	2.6%	
Connecticut n = 245)	59.8%	29.7%	10.5%	
Delaware	73.3%	26.7%		
n = 31) Florida	55.6%	25.5%	17.5%	
n = 497) Georgia	76.6%	20.3%	3.1%	
n = 341) Hawaii	63.0%	30.4%	6.5%	
n= 50) Ilinois	67.0%	21.3%	11.1%	*
n = 794) ndiana	65.0%	19.5%	15.5%	
n = 438) owa	81.8%	15.0%	3.2%	-
n = 563) Kansas				
n= 360) Kentucky	80.1%	15.2%	4.7%	-
n = 193) Louisiana	76.5%	19.5%	3.9%	
n = 335) Maine	73.2%	5.0%	21.7%	
n= 281)	84.2%	15.1%	*	
Maryland n = 179)	87.6%	2.4%	10.0%	
Massachusetts n = 482)	60.7%	25.5%	13.0%	*
Minnesota n = 360)	45.0%	13.5%	41.4%	
Mississippi n = 241)	83.3%	14.5%	2.3%	
Missouri n = 358)	62.3%	21.8%	15.8%	
Montana n =108)	79.6%	18.4%	2.0%	
Nevada n = 85)	79.8%	15.5%	4.8%	
New Hampshire n= 237)	67.4%	26.8%	4.0%	1.8%
New Jersey n = 454)	77.8%	13.6%	8.6%	
New Mexico				

ublic Computer A State	Yes	No	Do not know	Other
lew York n = 1,069)	79.1%	14.9%	6.0%	
North Carolina n = 380)	70.9%	21.3%	7.8%	
North Dakota n= 91)	53.2%	36.4%	7.8%	2.6%
Ohio n = 719)	74.4%	11.8%	13.7%	
Oklahoma n = 207)	78.7%	13.2%	8.1%	
Dregon n = 210)	71.1%	18.4%	10.4%	
Pennsylvania n = 634)	73.9%	16.7%	9.4%	
Rhode Island n = 72)	54.9%	34.3%	10.0%	
South Dakota n= 145)	85.8%	9.6%	3.0%	
Tennessee (n = 289)	72.3%	25.5%	1.9%	
Texas (n = 859)	66.7%	30.0%	2.8%	
Jtah n = 113)	74.8%	13.1%	12.1%	
Vermont n= 191)	72.8%	24.1%	3.1%	
Virginia (n= 341)	82.0%	13.4%	4.6%	
Washington (n= 330)	76.3%	14.7%	9.4%	
Washington, DC (n = 27)	100%			
Vest Virginia n = 174)	69.2%	26.0%	4.7%	
Visconsin n = 458)	69.6%	24.5%	4.9%	
Nyoming n = 74)	65.8%	15.1%	19.4%	
National	71.4% (n=11,083)	19.4% (n=3,002)	9.0% (n=1,397)	*

Whether or not the public library is the only provider of free public Internet access and free Internet workstations is addressed in Figure 75. Several states saw a large increase in the public libraries being the only free provider of these services. As examples, 72.3 percent of Tennessee libraries reported they were the only provider, up from 56 percent in 2007-2008; 76.3 percent of Washington libraries reported this status, up from 53.4 percent, and 100 percent of public library outlets in Washington, DC reported they are the only free Internet provider. Approximately one quarter (19.4 percent) of outlets reported they were not the only free provider. Outlets in Arizona are the least likely to be the only free providers, as 43.7 percent responded they were not. New Mexico (34.6 percent), North Dakota (36.4 percent) and Rhode Island (34.3 percent) also had relatively high percentages of outlets reporting they were not the only free provider of Internet and workstations as compared to the national average.

Figure 76: Nu	mber of Public A	Access Internet V	Vorkstations by	Average Age,	State		
State	Total number Public Internet Workstations	Public Internet Workstations less than one year	Public Internet Workstations one year old	Public Internet Workstations two years old	Public Internet Workstations three years old	Public Internet Workstations four years old	Public Internet Workstations five years old
Alabama (n = 278)	13.3	6.8	3.5	7.2	4.7	5.0	7.0
Alaska (n = 117)	15.5	4.1	2.8	3.4	2.0	2.0	2.1
Arizona (n = 210)	20.3	6.6	17.5	13.0	8.9	12.1	6.7
California (n = 1,099)	13.4	11.9	9.3	8.5	8.4	7.9	9.0
Colorado (n= 242)	14.9	7.5	6.5	7.4	5.1	7.6	10.6
Connecticut (n = 245)	11.9	6.4	4.1	6.9	5.5	2.7	5.1
Delaware (n = 31)	13.7	3.9	9.8	8.5	6.5	7.0	5.0
Florida (n = 497)	16.8	7.8	10.3	7.9	5.4	15.1	8.3
Georgia (n = 341)	15.5	8.9	5.7	6.8	6.7	8.8	6.4
Hawaii (n= 50)	5.9	5.0		8.0	3.0	6.8	5.4
Illinois (n = 794)	22.4	14.6	7.3	8.6	6.8	5.7	6.9
Indiana (n = 438)	11.3	4.3	4.2	4.4	6.9	4.8	4.0
lowa (n = 563)	29.8	3.2	3.2	3.6	3.1	2.9	2.7
Kansas (n= 360)	8.6	4.7	5.0	4.3	4.3	2.6	3.3
Kentucky (n = 193)	16.2	4.5	4.8	10.2	5.3	5.3	5.5
Louisiana (n = 335)	9.1	3.0	2.8	6.5	10.8	4.4	8.0
Maine (n= 281)	5.9	2.0	2.0	2.8	3.2	3.1	3.5
Maryland (n = 179)	15.3	8.2	5.1	8.6	6.4	5.8	11.8
Massachusetts (n = 482)	8.6	4.9	3.3	3.4	4.3	4.9	5.8
Minnesota (n = 360)	9.5	3.3	3.6	5.8	2.6	1.6	4.8
Mississippi (n = 241)	9.1	3.7	5.4	5.9	9.0	3.1	2.6
Missouri (n = 358)	8.8	3.8	5.7	3.2	4.6	4.1	5.1
Montana (n =108)	8.0	4.2	2.5	3.5	3.2	3.0	2.2
Nevada (n = 85)	13.8	5.2	6.3	5.8	6.0	5.8	6.4
(11 - 00)		<u> </u>	I	İ	İ		

Figure 76 (con	't): Number of F	Public Access Int	ernet Workstati	ons by Averag	e Age, State		
State	Total number Public Internet Workstations	Public Internet Workstations less than one year	Public Internet Workstations one year old	Public Internet Workstations two years old	Public Internet Workstations three years old	Public Internet Workstations four years old	Public Internet Workstations five years old
New Mexico (n = 120)	10.9	9.1	5.2	5.4	4.5	3.9	3.3
New York (n = 1,069)	9.7	5.7	4.5	6.0	3.9	4.0	3.6
North Carolina (n = 380)	7.1	5.7	4.2	5.0	5.4	6.2	5.2
North Dakota (n= 91)	5.7	2.8	3.1	1.7	2.9	1.6	3.1
Ohio (n = 719)	13.8	3.4	5.4	4.1	4.6	12.6	5.4
Oklahoma (n = 207)	9.3	2.8	3.0	6.2	4.2	2.9	4.6
Oregon (n = 210)	8.2	7.8	5.4	3.3	4.2	3.1	5.2
Pennsylvania (n = 634)	14.1	5.1	4.4	4.7	3.8	5.8	4.7
Rhode Island (n = 72)	9.8	2.7	3.5	4.3	1.5	3.7	9.1
South Dakota (n= 145)	7.6	4.1	6.0	3.9	2.9	3.1	3.2
Tennessee (n = 289)	11.9	6.3	6.3	5.2	3.3	3.9	4.2
Texas (n = 859)	14.6	6.8	5.1	7.7	8.6	4.0	5.2
Utah (n = 113)	10.5	5.1	5.0	5.4	4.3	4.2	8.6
Vermont (n= 191)	5.1	2.7	2.0	2.5	2.2	2.6	3.4
Virginia (n= 341)	8.8	4.6	2.9	3.0	3.1	5.2	5.4
Washington (n= 330)	8.2	8.7	4.7	2.7	2.4	3.9	5.3
Washington, DC (n = 27)	11.8	7.7	10.7				
West Virginia (n = 174)	6.5	2.7	3.0	3.5	3.1	2.7	2.9
Wisconsin (n = 458)	8.0	3.3	3.4	3.6	4.2	4.5	3.6
Wyoming (n = 74)	9.7	2.5	9.8	3.2	2.9	4.3	2.7
National	10.9 (n=14,939)	5.5 (n=5,029)	5.0 (n=3,905)	5.5 (n=5,964)	5.3 (n=5,480)	5.7 (n=4,190)	5.1 (n=5,946)

Key *=Insufficient data to report
---No data to report

Figure 76 shows the average number of public Internet workstations libraries have by age as well as the total. The category options were slightly altered from the 2007-2008 survey, therefore direct comparisons in the age categories are not possible. Iowa has the highest reported total average of Internet workstations, 29.8, which is well above the national average of 10.9 workstations. California and Illinois have the most workstations that are less than one year old (11.9 and 14.6, respectively), yet Colorado (10.6) and Maryland (11.8) have the highest average of workstations that are five years old. Arizona is the most likely to have the most two year old workstations (13), Louisiana has the highest reported average of three year old workstations (10.8) and Florida has the most four year old workstations (15.1).

Figure 77: Sufficiency	of Public Access Internet	Workstations by State	
State	There are consistently fewer public Internet workstations than patrons who wish to use them	There are fewer public Internet workstations than patrons who wish to use them at different times throughout a typical day	There are always sufficient public Internet workstations available
Alabama (n = 278)	14.6%	65.5%	20.1%
Alaska (n = 117)	29.1%	55.0%	15.5%
Arizona (n = 210)	27.0%	64.0%	9.1%
California (n = 1,099)	26.6%	60.0%	15.3%
Colorado (n= 242)	20.8%	60.6%	18.3%
Connecticut (n = 245)	9.7%	55.8%	34.4%
Delaware (n = 31)	13.8%	82.8%	3.4%
Florida (n = 497)	25.0%	62.4%	12.5%
Georgia (n = 341)	33.8%	46.4%	20.0%
Hawaii (n= 50)	22.9%	68.8%	8.2%
Illinois (n = 794)	10.1%	67.7%	22.4%
Indiana (n = 438)	8.8%	70.7%	20.6%
lowa (n = 563)	13.4%	56.9%	29.8%
Kansas (n= 360)	6.6%	57.6%	35.8%
Kentucky (n = 193)	13.8%	71.8%	13.8%
Louisiana (n = 335)	4.3%	59.5%	36.4%
Maine (n= 281)	14.7%	60.1%	25.2%
Maryland (n = 179)	24.0%	66.7%	9.4%
Massachusetts (n = 482)	14.4%	63.1%	22.6%
Minnesota (n = 360)	31.7%	57.4%	11.0%
Mississippi (n = 241)	21.8%	66.8%	11.4%
Missouri (n = 358)	11.3%	74.4%	14.1%
Montana (n=108)	12.6%	66.3%	20.8%

Figure 77 (con't): Su	fficiency of Public Access In		ite
State	There are consistently fewer public Internet workstations than patrons who wish to use them throughout a typical day	There are fewer public Internet workstations than patrons who wish to use them at different times throughout a typical day	There are always sufficient public Internet workstations available for patrons who wish to use them during a typical day
Nevada (n = 85)	44.0%	38.6%	17.9%
New Hampshire (n= 237)	18.3%	58.7%	22.8%
New Jersey (n = 454)	11.9%	68.9%	19.0%
New Mexico (n = 120)	16.7%	61.7%	22.2%
New York (n = 1,069)	27.2%	53.9%	18.9%
North Carolina (n = 380)	29.6%	63.3%	7.1%
North Dakota (n= 91)	12.7%	44.3%	43.6%
Ohio (n = 719)	12.9%	72.1%	15.0%
Oklahoma (n = 207)	15.6%	69.3%	15.1%
Oregon (n = 210)	22.7%	69.5%	8.3%
Pennsylvania (n = 634)	13.9%	61.3%	24.8%
Rhode Island (n = 72)	12.7%	56.3%	31.4%
South Dakota (n= 145)	7.2%	47.8%	44.9%
Tennessee (n = 289)	28.9%	47.6%	23.4%
Texas (n = 859)	17.5%	59.5%	23.0%
Utah (n = 113)	18.3%	55.0%	26.6%
Vermont (n= 191)	8.6%	72.6%	18.7%
Virginia (n= 341)	30.4%	58.9%	10.7%
Washington (n= 330)	21.2%	70.2%	8.6%
Washington, DC (n = 27)		100%	
West Virginia (n = 174)	16.3%	56.1%	27.5%
Wisconsin (n = 458)	10.4%	74.0%	15.9%
Wyoming (n = 74)	4.1%	71.2%	24.7%
National	18.8% (n=2,972)	62.4% (n=9,886)	18.9% (n=2,987)

Figure 77 reports the public libraries responses to the sufficiency of public access Internet workstation availability. Rhode Island has the highest percentage of outlets reporting there are always a sufficient number of workstations for patrons who wish to use them (44.9 percent) whereas Nevada has the highest percentage of outlets reporting there are consistently fewer workstations (44 percent) than patrons who wish to use them. All of the library outlets in Washington, DC reported that there are fewer workstations than patrons who wish to use them at different times throughout the day. The availability of sufficient workstations at different times of the day was also problematic for 72.1 percent of outlets in Ohio and 72.6 percent of libraries in Vermont.

Figure 78: Publi	Figure 78: Public Library Outlet Public Access Internet Workstations Addition Schedule by State										
State	The library plans to add workstations within the next year	The library is considering adding more workstations or laptops within the next year, but does not know how many at this time	The library has no plans to add workstations within the next year	Other	The average number of workstations that the library plans to add within the next year						
Alabama (n = 278)	13.6%	16.3%	63.6%	6.6%	2.7						
Alaska (n = 117)	22.6%	15.7%	46.1%	15.5%	2.0						
Arizona (n = 210)	6.8%	12.6%	75.4%	5.2%	23.2						
California (n = 1,099)	12.0%	3.2%	82.3%	2.7%	9.1						
Colorado (n= 242)	28.0%	11.5%	57.6%	2.6%	4.2						
Connecticut (n = 245)	13.1%	10.3%	65.4%	11.2%	2.9						
Delaware (n = 31)	37.9%	3.4%	33.3%	24.1%	2.6						
Florida (n = 497)	10.5%	8.9%	72.8%	7.6%	10.6						
Georgia (n = 341)	7.4%	8.4%	82.9%	1.7%	4.2						
Hawaii (n= 50)	10.4%		40.4%	50.0%	1.3						
Illinois (n = 794)	16.4%	12.0%	68.1%	3.4%	4.8						
Indiana (n = 438)	9.7%	10.2%	71.6%	8.4%	2.3						
lowa (n = 563)	15.8%	10.1%	66.1%	8.0%	3.6						
Kansas (n= 360)	21.7%	13.5%	58.9%	6.2%	2.8						
Kentucky (n = 193)	8.2%	21.1%	65.5%	4.7%	6.6						
Louisiana (n = 335)	1.7%	38.1%	51.5%	8.7%	6.0						
Maine (n= 281)	28.0%	18.8%	46.5%	6.6%	1.9						
Maryland (n = 179)	14.8%	37.6%	46.7%	*	5.2						
Massachusetts (n = 482)	27.8%	10.0%	54.9%	7.1%	3.8						
Minnesota (n = 360)	2.2%	11.0%	85.4%	1.4%	7.5						
Mississippi (n = 241)	17.0%	17.9%	65.2%		2.8						
Missouri (n = 358)	10.3%	10.3%	68.7%	10.7%	5.7						
Montana (n =108)	25.3%	11.0%	56.7%	7.7%	1.6						
Nevada (n = 85)	6.0%	23.8%	69.0%	1.2%	1.5						
New Hampshire (n= 237)	31.6%	9.6%	51.3%	7.9%	1.7						

Figure 78 (con't): Public Library Out		nternet Workstation	s Addition Sched	ule by State
State	The library plans to add workstations within the next year	The library is considering adding more workstations or laptops within the next year, but does not know how many at this time	The library has no plans to add workstations within the next year	Other	The average number of workstations that the library plans to add within the next year
New Jersey (n = 454)	14.9%	23.9%	53.1%	8.0%	5.3
New Mexico (n = 120)	7.5%	30.2%	53.8%	8.5%	4.0
New York (n = 1,069)	25.5%	39.4%	30.7%	4.4%	2.9
North Carolina (n = 380)	14.0%	23.9%	57.8%	4.3%	4.0
North Dakota (n= 91)	8.9%	10.3%	70.5%	10.3%	3.3
Ohio (n = 719)	7.3%	16.6%	72.1%	3.7%	7.3
Oklahoma (n = 207)	12.1%	21.6%	56.3%	10.1%	3.1
Oregon (n = 210)	18.2%	18.2%	56.6%	7.0%	2.6
Pennsylvania (n = 634)	27.0%	14.8%	53.7%	4.6%	3.7
Rhode Island (n = 72)	26.8%	26.8%	42.3%	5.6%	3.4
South Dakota (n= 145)	8.5%	5.4%	78.5%	7.7%	1.8
Tennessee (n = 289)	9.1%	10.9%	72.3%	7.7%	5.9
Texas (n = 859)	14.6%	12.4%	63.1%	10.0%	3.9
Utah (n = 113)	30.0%	1.8%	61.5%	7.3%	4.2
Vermont (n= 191)	12.4%	8.1%	71.5%	8.1%	1.4
Virginia (n= 341)	18.6%	27.3%	48.7%	5.6%	3.4
Washington (n= 330)	26.6%	8.5%	62.7%	2.2%	3.4
Washington, DC (n = 27)	66.7%	8.3%	25.0%		6.4
West Virginia (n = 174)	2.4%		89.6%	7.9%	1.4
Wisconsin (n = 458)	11.1%	10.6%	72.7%	5.3%	2.3
Wyoming (n = 74)	23.3%	13.9%	60.3%	1.4%	4.1
National Weighted missing v	16.7% (n=2,593)	16.3% (n=2,529)	61.0% (n=9,460)	6.0% (n=932)	4.1 (n=2,593)

Weighted missing values, n=446 **Key** *=Insufficient data to report
--=No data to report

Figure 78 details public library plans on adding public access Internet workstations or laptops as well as the total number of workstations planned on being added over the next year. Hawaii reported the smallest number of planned additions, 1.3, whereas Arizona reported the highest average of workstations outlets are planning on adding, 23.2. Overall, 61.0 percent of public libraries have no plans to add any workstations within the next year, with West Virginia and Georgia being the least likely (89.6 percent and 82.9 percent, respectively). The states that are most likely to add workstations next year and be knowledgeable about how many will be added are Washington, DC, with 66.7 percent of outlets reporting these plans, and New Hampshire, as 31.6 percent reported they plan on adding workstations, both well above the national average of 16.7 percent. Although they are unsure of exactly how many workstations will be added, 39.4 percent of New York outlets and 38.1 percent of libraries in Louisiana reported they have plans to add workstations within the next year.

Figure 79: Publ	lic Library Out	let Public Acc	ess Internet V	Vorkstation/La	aptop Replace	ment Schedu	le by State	
State	The average replacement schedule is every year	The average replacement schedule is every 2 years	The average replacement schedule is every 3 years	The average replacement schedule is every 4 years	The average replacement schedule is every 5 years	The library has another replacement or addition schedule	The library does not know the average replacement or addition schedule	The library does not have a replacement or addition schedule
Alabama (n = 267)		-	9.0%	13.5%	12.4%	7.5%	4.9%	52.6%
Alaska (n = 116)		-	13.8%	6.5%	6.5%	14.7%	2.8%	55.0%
Arizona (n = 197)			10.5%	12.6%	26.3%		6.8%	43.5%
California (n = 1,058)			24.7%	31.0%	16.2%	4.0%		24.1%
Colorado (n= 242)			18.7%	16.9%	25.8%	4.5%	4.0%	30.2%
Connecticut (n = 219)			17.8%	22.5%	7.2%	9.6%	1.4%	41.8%
Delaware (n = 29)	3.4%	3.4%	66.7%	6.7%	10.0%	3.4%		3.4%
Florida (n = 459)			16.7%	12.7%	18.0%	10.2%	3.8%	38.5%
Georgia (n = 330)			19.2%	6.6%	12.6%	4.2%	6.6%	50.2%
Hawaii (n= 49)						2.1%	14.6%	81.6%
Illinois (n = 722)			25.2%	10.4%	5.3%	16.7%	4.6%	37.9%
Indiana (n = 399)			24.3%	19.8%	19.5%	10.3%	2.1%	23.8%
lowa (n = 530)	1.0%	*	8.4%	9.4%	8.9%	12.7%	2.1%	57.0%
Kansas (n= 348)	*	*	11.1%	11.4%	16.0%	6.6%	4.5%	49.1%
Kentucky (n = 176)			13.7%	17.9%	13.7%	14.9%	1.2%	38.7%
Louisiana (n = 304)		-	13.5%	11.0%	43.8%	11.0%	*	19.9%
Maine (n= 279)		-	7.7%	14.7%	9.2%	9.2%	*	58.5%
Maryland (n = 171)			18.2%	59.4%	12.4%	8.3%		1.8%
Massachusetts (n = 455)	1.1%		4.3%	8.3%	17.1%	9.5%		59.5%
Minnesota (n = 360)			16.6%	36.4%	16.0%	19.2%		11.8%
Mississippi (n = 229)			25.3%	16.3%	18.5%	5.4%	4.1%	30.2%
Missouri (n = 319)			24.2%	18.2%	8.3%	10.2%	2.9%	36.3%
Montana (n =98)			19.8%	7.2%	7.2%	13.5%	14.4%	39.6%
Nevada (n = 84)			6.0%	27.4%	41.0%	1.2%		25.0%
New Hampshire (n= 233)			8.4%	13.1%	4.7%	8.9%	1.9%	63.1%

Figure 79 (con'	t): Public Libr	ary Outlet Pul	olic Access In	ternet Workst	ation/Laptop	Replacement		State
State	The average replacement schedule is every year	The average replacement schedule is every 2 years	The average replacement schedule is every 3 years	The average replacement schedule is every 4 years	The average replacement schedule is every 5 years	The library has another replacement or addition schedule	The library does not know the average replacement or addition schedule	The library does not have a replacement or addition schedule
New Jersey (n = 438)		*	8.7%	16.4%	10.2%	15.0%	8.0%	41.0%
New Mexico (n = 108)			25.0%	25.3%	7.1%	11.1%		32.3%
New York (n = 1,056)	1.4%	1.3%	12.2%	17.8%	17.5%	12.8%	2.6%	34.4%
North Carolina (n = 380)	*	*	23.9%	21.0%	24.5%	9.1%	1.3%	19.4%
North Dakota (n= 79)			12.0%	8.1%	10.8%	13.5%	2.7%	52.0%
Ohio (n = 688)			20.6%	14.4%	8.3%	19.2%		37.5%
Oklahoma (n = 201)			27.0%	15.9%	14.7%	5.3%	4.2%	33.3%
Oregon (n = 210)		*	8.3%	10.8%	8.8%	5.9%		65.2%
Pennsylvania (n = 626)	*	1.1%	15.8%	11.9%	16.6%	11.1%	2.7%	40.6%
Rhode Island (n = 71)		9.8%	11.7%	36.7%		-		41.7%
South Dakota (n= 139)			8.8%	16.1%	17.6%	8.0%	4.4%	44.9%
Tennessee (n = 277)			16.2%	32.8%	4.9%	11.3%	2.6%	32.1%
Texas (n = 800)			16.8%	22.1%	5.4%	11.8%	2.1%	41.8%
Utah (n = 109)	3.7%	10.3%	11.2%	24.1%	10.3%	6.5%	3.7%	30.6%
Vermont (n= 186)			2.8%	7.2%	7.3%	6.7%		76.5%
Virginia (n= 339)		*	14.9%	26.4%	18.7%	13.4%		26.1%
Washington (n= 326)			18.9%	17.7%	21.1%	7.4%		35.1%
Washington, DC (n = 24)			100%					
West Virginia (n = 172)			11.4%	10.1%	6.5%	7.2%	9.5%	55.4%
Wisconsin (n = 453)			5.9%	16.6%	20.7%	11.2%	3.3%	42.5%
Wyoming (n = 74)			27.9%	14.5%	27.9%	7.4%		20.6%
National	*	*	15.9% (n=2,456)	18.4% (n=2,841)	14.2% (n=2,193)	9.9% (n=1,533)	2.5% (n=388)	38.2% (n=5,898)

Weighted missing values, n=531 **Key** *=Insufficient data to report

--=No data to report

Figure 79 shows the average replacement workstation replacement schedule public libraries have. A new category for the 2008-2009 survey was replacing workstations every year, although very few outlets reported they were adhering to this schedule. A total of 38.2 percent of libraries overall have no replacement schedule at all. Of those outlets that do have a schedule, the highest overall percent (18.4) is every four years, with Rhode Island (36.7 percent) and California (31.0 percent) the most likely to have this schedule. A two year schedule is quite rare (less than one percent overall). Several states have many more libraries than the overall average of 15.9 percent having a three year schedule, such as 100 percent of Washington, DC outlets, 66.7 percent of Delaware libraries and 27.9 percent of libraries in Wyoming. As shown with an overall of 2.5 percent not knowing their replacement schedule, most libraries were able to report how often they replace workstations, although 9.9 percent of outlets reported they have a schedule other than the categories listed.

Figure 80: Factors Influ	encing the	Addition of	f Public Acces	s Internet V	Vorkstations	s/Laptops by	y State	
State	Space limitations	Cost factors	Maintenance, upgrade, and general upkeep	Availability of public service staff	Availability of technical staff	Availability of bandwidth	Availability of electrical outlets, cabling, or other infrastructure	Other
Alabama (n = 278)	73.7%	83.2%	21.8%	16.4%	14.5%	6.9%	28.2%	3.8%
Alaska (n = 117)	72.4%	79.3%	18.1%	11.2%	20.7%	25.9%	20.7%	5.2%
Arizona (n = 210)	59.9%	87.3%	10.2%		31.0%	20.8%	43.4%	1.5%
California (n = 1,099)	83.3%	67.0%	10.2%	6.1%	8.3%	38.1%	34.3%	1.7%
Colorado (n= 242)	71.1%	73.6%	21.1%	6.6%	16.1%	16.9%	27.3%	2.5%
Connecticut (n = 245)	75.3%	70.8%	23.8%	11.8%	21.4%		35.6%	3.6%
Delaware (n = 31)	79.3%	71.4%	14.3%	14.3%	3.6%	25.0%	46.4%	3.6%
Florida (n = 497)	76.2%	76.4%	11.1%	9.5%	6.4%	13.5%	36.7%	3.8%
Georgia (n = 341)	69.9%	80.1%	21.2%	5.0%	6.3%	19.2%	43.0%	1.0%
Hawaii (n= 50)	28.6%	89.6%	10.4%		10.2%	31.3%	26.5%	10.4%
Illinois (n = 794)	66.9%	77.9%	24.2%	7.6%	14.3%	10.3%	24.1%	3.7%
Indiana (n = 438)	66.0%	79.0%	13.8%	13.6%	15.4%	20.3%	23.0%	5.4%
lowa (n = 563)	66.5%	83.3%	27.6%	6.4%	14.3%	9.9%	24.0%	1.9%
Kansas (n= 360)	78.3%	80.6%	23.2%	4.7%	8.2%	8.5%	28.7%	2.9%
Kentucky (n = 193)	86.7%	65.7%	25.3%	10.8%	16.9%	9.6%	30.7%	2.4%
Louisiana (n = 335)	94.5%	34.9%	32.7%	5.5%	4.7%	28.7%	29.3%	7.6%
Maine (n= 281)	71.0%	87.4%	24.9%	13.4%	17.4%	3.3%	25.7%	5.1%
Maryland (n = 179)	83.5%	74.7%	28.2%	4.1%	17.8%	20.6%	33.7%	*
Massachusetts (n = 482)	70.9%	83.8%	14.5%	9.4%	24.4%	10.5%	35.4%	3.6%
Minnesota (n = 360)	80.1%	66.5%	14.0%	10.7%	16.3%	23.0%	18.5%	6.7%
Mississippi (n = 241)	74.0%	85.8%	31.4%	19.9%	9.3%	21.2%	31.4%	*
Missouri (n = 358)	89.5%	72.9%	17.6%	4.8%	7.0%	16.3%	31.5%	
Montana (n =108)	66.3%	80.0%	16.0%	6.3%	17.0%	10.6%	34.7%	7.4%
Nevada (n = 85)	92.8%	57.8%	4.8%	21.4%	12.0%	41.0%	45.8%	4.8%
New Hampshire (n= 237)	70.6%	82.9%	23.1%	5.7%	15.8%	19.3%	27.6%	3.9%

Figure 80 (con't): Factors Influencing the Addition of Public Access Internet Workstations/Laptops by State													
State	Space limitations	Cost factors	Maintenance, upgrade, and general upkeep	Availability of public service staff	Availability of technical staff	Availability of bandwidth	Availability of electrical outlets, cabling, or other infrastructure	Other					
New Jersey (n = 454)	73.9%	66.6%	21.5%	10.8%	19.6%	13.0%	40.8%	3.8%					
New Mexico (n = 120)	76.4%	58.5%	14.2%	11.3%	11.3%	15.1%	41.5%	1.9%					
New York (n = 1,069)	84.8%	81.3%	16.3%	8.9%	7.9%	8.7%	43.0%	2.5%					
North Carolina (n = 380)	76.5%	86.5%	17.5%	10.0%	11.3%	17.5%	32.6%	1.3%					
North Dakota (n= 91)	53.2%	87.2%	33.3%	7.7%	25.3%	7.7%	10.3%	2.6%					
Ohio (n = 719)	80.1%	80.8%	17.7%	13.6%	3.7%	10.2%	52.1%	*					
Oklahoma (n = 207)	79.5%	73.1%	28.9%	7.0%	4.0%	8.0%	49.3%	15.5%					
Oregon (n = 210)	59.7%	81.1%	29.4%	11.9%	20.4%	8.0%	24.9%	2.5%					
Pennsylvania (n = 634)	78.1%	80.2%	29.6%	9.3%	11.8%	14.3%	29.5%	*					
Rhode Island (n = 72)	90.0%	80.3%	5.6%	25.4%	10.0%	10.0%	54.3%						
South Dakota (n= 145)	70.5%	89.2%	20.9%	8.7%	12.2%	20.1%	34.1%						
Tennessee (n = 289)	76.9%	84.9%	8.1%	5.9%	3.7%	11.4%	47.1%	1.8%					
Texas (n = 859)	74.3%	72.4%	19.2%	8.5%	12.3%	14.0%	32.8%	3.1%					
Utah (n = 113)	79.4%	67.3%	21.5%	12.1%	11.2%	3.7%	39.8%	2.8%					
Vermont (n= 191)	76.1%	85.9%	29.3%	6.5%	21.2%	4.3%	14.7%	4.3%					
Virginia (n= 341)	76.7%	89.9%	17.5%	5.6%	13.3%	14.5%	32.4%	*					
Washington (n= 330)	83.5%	46.6%	18.6%	5.9%	8.0%	17.1%	41.6%	2.5%					
Washington, DC (n = 27)	18.2%			90.9%		27.3%	81.8%						
West Virginia (n = 174)	66.9%	74.9%	18.6%	1.2%	11.1%	18.0%	18.1%	5.3%					
Wisconsin (n = 458)	65.0%	80.8%	20.1%	7.2%	10.7%	18.6%	31.3%	2.9%					
Wyoming (n = 74)	83.6%	66.7%	12.3%	4.1%	15.1%	33.3%	22.2%	4.1%					
National	75.9% (n=11,912)	77.4% (n=12,149)	19.6% (n=3,082)	8.9% (n=1,404)	12.1% (n=1,901)	15.3% (n=2,398)	34.0% (n=5,340)	2.8% (n=444)					

Will not total 100%, as categories are not mutually exclusive
Weighted missing values, n=270
Key *=Insufficient data to report
--=No data to report

The various factors that influence the addition of public access Internet workstations are detailed in Figure 80. Switching slightly from 2007-2008, the biggest factor influencing the addition of workstations in 2008-2009 was cost (77.4 percent), closely followed by space limitations (75.9 percent). In the 2008-2009 survey, the availability of staff was split into public service and technical staff, and the combined total (21.0 percent) has increased over the 11.3 percent of outlets overall reporting a significant factor is the availability of staff. While only 18.2 percent of outlets in Washington, DC reported space was a factor, and none reported cost was a factor, 90.9 percent reported the availability of public service staff was problematic. Bandwidth, overall, does not pose too much of an obstacle (15.3 percent nationally), although Nevada and Wyoming are the most likely to need more bandwidth, with 41.0 percent and 33.3 percent, respectively, reporting availability of bandwidth is a factor.

State	Cost factors	Maintenance, upgrade, and general upkeep	Availability of staff	Other
Alabama (n = 278)	90.1%	4.4%	6.0%	
Alaska (n = 117)	74.8%	1.8%	9.0%	14.4%
Arizona (n = 210)	83.0%	5.7%	9.8%	1.6%
California (n = 1,099)	81.8%	1.5%	9.1%	7.7%
Colorado (n= 242)	69.0%	4.5%	14.5%	12.0%
Connecticut (n = 245)	83.7%	4.3%	5.8%	6.3%
Delaware (n = 31)	96.4%			3.6%
Florida (n = 497)	82.9%	4.9%	3.0%	9.3%
Georgia (n = 341)	83.5%	3.0%	5.4%	8.1%
Hawaii (n= 50)	81.6%			18.4%
Illinois (n = 794)	76.4%	8.0%	8.5%	7.1%
Indiana (n = 438)	86.4%	4.6%	1.8%	7.2%
lowa (n = 563)	81.7%	5.5%	6.9%	5.9%
Kansas (n= 360)	85.3%	3.5%	5.9%	5.6%
Kentucky (n = 193)	58.6%	14.6%	3.8%	22.8%
Louisiana (n = 335)	71.1%	5.6%	4.8%	18.5%
Maine (n= 281)	84.1%	4.3%	6.5%	5.1%
Maryland (n = 179)	87.5%	*	7.1%	4.2%
Massachusetts (n = 482)	85.0%	3.2%	6.8%	5.0%
Minnesota (n = 360)	77.0%	5.4%	14.5%	3.1%
Mississippi (n = 241)	93.6%	2.3%	1.4%	2.7%
Missouri (n = 358)	87.2%	6.1%	4.8%	1.9%
Montana (n =108)	88.2%		6.5%	5.4%
Nevada (n = 85)	57.8%	19.0%	19.0%	4.8%
New Hampshire (n= 237)	82.5%	4.0%	4.0%	9.8%
New Jersey (n = 454)	71.2%	8.7%	9.4%	11.0%
<u>,,</u>		L		l

State	Cost factors	Maintenance, upgrade, and general upkeep	Availability of staff	Other
New Mexico (n = 120)	77.3%	2.1%	9.3%	11.3%
New York (n = 1,069)	84.3%	4.4%	3.9%	7.3%
North Carolina (n = 380)	89.6%	*	4.1%	5.7%
North Dakota (n= 91)	86.8%		7.9%	5.3%
Ohio (n = 719)	92.9%	3.8%	*	2.6%
Oklahoma (n = 207)	71.1%	13.9%	5.0%	9.5%
Oregon (n = 210)	83.0%	10.3%	2.1%	4.6%
Pennsylvania (n = 634)	82.7%	5.5%	5.8%	6.1%
Rhode Island (n = 72)	90.1%		5.6%	4.2%
South Dakota (n= 145)	84.7%	8.0%	7.4%	
Tennessee (n = 289)	90.9%	1.9%	1.1%	6.0%
Texas (n = 859)	80.7%	*	6.5%	12.0%
Utah (n = 113)	88.1%		4.6%	8.2%
Vermont (n= 191)	88.5%	3.8%	4.4%	3.8%
Virginia (n= 341)	85.8%	2.4%	8.7%	3.0%
Washington (n= 330)	61.2%	21.6%	14.9%	2.2%
Washington, DC (n = 27)	66.7%		33.3%	
West Virginia (n = 174)	87.7%		7.6%	4.7%
Wisconsin (n = 458)	85.9%	5.3%	1.6%	7.2%
Wyoming (n = 74)	68.1%	7.2%	13.0%	11.6%
National	83.2% (n=12,683)	4.6% (n=706)	5.7% (n=864)	6.5% (n=989)

Weighted missing values, n=717 **Key** *=Insufficient data to report

--=No data to report

The factors that influence replacement of public access Internet workstations are listed in Figure 81. Similar to past years, cost is by far the most significant factor, with 83.2 percent of all outlets reporting this. Although maintenance, upgrade and general upkeep was reported as being a factor by only 4.6 percent of outlets nationally, this is a significant issue for 21.6 percent of outlets in Washington, and 19 percent of outlets in Nevada. The availability of staff is particularly problematic for Washington, DC, as 33.3 percent of outlets there reported this being a significant factor, yet only 5.7 percent of libraries nationally reported on this category. Kentucky and Louisiana (22.8 and 18.5 percent, respectively) were the most likely to report there were reasons other than cost, maintenance or staff that influence their replacing workstations.

Figure 82: Public Libr	ry Outlet Internet Workstation/Laptop Replacement Approach by State										
State	Staggered-the library replaces some workstations each year, replace all over the specified replacement schedule	Complete-the library replaces workstations all at one time	The library has another replacement approach								
Alabama (n = 278)	43.9%	37.7%	18.4%								
Alaska (n = 117)	54.3%	23.9%	21.7%								
Arizona (n = 210)	63.8%	36.2%									
California (n = 1,099)	75.7%	18.1%	6.1%								
Colorado (n= 242)	73.0%	17.6%	10.1%								
Connecticut (n = 245)	74.6%	14.4%	11.0%								
Delaware (n = 31)	58.6%	32.1%	10.7%								
Florida (n = 497)	59.3%	34.4%	6.2%								
Georgia (n = 341)	47.2%	33.9%	18.7%								
Hawaii (n= 50)	-		100%								
Illinois (n = 794)	60.0%	23.1%	16.8%								
Indiana (n = 438)	76.1%	14.6%	9.3%								
lowa (n = 563)	65.7%	9.1%	24.8%								
Kansas (n= 360)	70.1%	8.4%	21.3%								
Kentucky (n = 193)	35.6%	43.6%	20.8%								
Louisiana (n = 335)	88.4%	11.6%	-								
Maine (n= 281)	54.1%	16.4%	29.1%								
Maryland (n = 179)	82.0%	12.6%	5.4%								
Massachusetts (n = 482)	65.4%	19.4%	15.1%								
Minnesota (n = 360)	53.7%	37.1%	9.4%								
Mississippi (n = 241)	30.3%	50.3%	19.2%								
Missouri (n = 358)	49.2%	28.8%	22.5%								
Montana (n =108)	66.7%	4.4%	28.9%								
Nevada (n = 85)	68.3%	7.9%	23.8%								

Figure 82 (con't): Po		Workstation/Laptop Replac	ement Approach by State
State	Staggered-the library replaces some workstations each year, replace all over the specified replacement schedule	Complete-the library replaces workstations all at one time	The library has another replacement approach
New Hampshire (n= 237)	80.0%	5.4%	13.5%
New Jersey (n = 454)	57.6%	17.6%	24.5%
New Mexico (n = 120)	79.1%	11.9%	10.4%
New York (n = 1,069)	74.2%	17.6%	8.2%
North Carolina (n = 380)	67.3%	18.0%	14.6%
North Dakota (n= 91)	69.7%	5.9%	24.2%
Ohio (n = 719)	69.0%	23.4%	7.7%
Oklahoma (n = 207)	58.8%	33.1%	8.4%
Oregon (n = 210)	76.1%	12.5%	12.7%
Pennsylvania (n = 634)	53.1%	33.2%	13.6%
Rhode Island (n = 72)	47.2%	52.8%	
South Dakota (n= 145)	53.6%	29.0%	17.4%
Tennessee (n = 289)	77.5%	5.2%	17.3%
Texas (n = 859)	66.0%	14.7%	19.3%
Utah (n = 113)	64.8%	19.7%	14.3%
Vermont (n= 191)	73.8%	7.1%	18.6%
Virginia (n= 341)	74.3%	13.7%	12.0%
Washington (n= 330)	56.0%	43.1%	1.0%
Washington, DC (n = 27)		100%	
West Virginia (n = 174)	77.0%		23.0%
Wisconsin (n = 458)	75.1%	14.5%	10.1%
Wyoming (n = 74)	92.6%	7.4%	
National	68.1% (n=6,234)	19.3% (n=1,764)	12.7% (n=1,161)

Weighted missing values, n=0 **Key** *=Insufficient data to report

--=No data to report

A new question in the 2008-2009 survey pertains to the type of replacement approaches public libraries use and the results are shown in Figure 82. The vast majority of outlets (68.1 percent) stagger replacement, meaning some workstations are replaced each year until all are replaced over the time frame of the replacement schedule. Overall, 12.7 percent of outlets use another replacement approach, with Hawaii (100 percent), Maine (29.1 percent) and Montana (23.8 percent) being the most likely to have another approach. Of those libraries utilizing another replacement approach, many reported they replace workstations or laptops when needed or when funding is available. Approximately one fifth (19.3 percent) of libraries completely replace workstations at one time, although none do in West Virginia or Hawaii, over half (52.8 percent) of libraries in Rhode Island do, and all libraries in Washington, DC replace their workstations at the same time.

Figure 83: S	Figure 83: Sources of IT and Computer Support Provided to Public Library Outlets by State													
State	Non-IT specialist public service staff	Non-IT specialist library director	Non-IT specialist other	Building-based IT specialist	System-level IT staff	Library consortia or other library system	County/ City IT staff	State telecommunication s network staff	State library IT staff	Outside vendor/contractor	Volunteer(s)	Other		
Alabama (n = 267)	40.9%	34.6%	15.6%	1.6%	19.4%	3.9%	9.3%		23.3%	22.6%	8.6%			
Alaska (n = 116)	24.8%	46.5%	21.2%	7.1%	14.2%	2.7%	19.5%	3.5%	5.3%	14.0%	27.4%	5.3%		
Arizona (n = 197)	24.0%	30.6%	5.1%	21.8%	39.1%	1.5%	46.2%		-	6.6%	1.5%	2.0%		
California (n = 1,058)	26.2%	4.1%	6.0%	8.6%	66.7%	5.6%	34.4%			14.1%	*			
Colorado (n= 242)	23.1%	28.9%	8.7%	17.4%	47.1%	3.7%	19.0%		*	29.3%	9.9%	1.7%		
Connecticut (n = 219)	41.6%	46.6%	13.2%	16.9%	20.1%	38.8%	24.2%	9.6%	2.3%	27.4%	10.0%	3.7%		
Delaware (n = 29)	36.7%	55.2%	3.4%	10.0%	34.5%	23.3%	46.7%		41.4%	10.3%	3.4%			
Florida (n = 459)	11.4%	12.1%	1.8%	5.5%	59.1%	6.6%	39.3%			18.2%	1.5%	2.2%		
Georgia (n = 330)	36.8%	9.9%	8.6%	8.6%	74.2%	4.3%	6.0%	9.6%	7.0%	27.2%	*	1.7%		
Hawaii (n= 49)		14.3%	2.1%	2.1%	85.7%		2.1%		14.3%			2.1%		
Illinois (n = 722)	12.2%	39.6%	11.6%	25.2%	14.1%	12.0%	3.5%	3.7%		49.7%	10.0%	3.1%		
Indiana (n = 399)	38.2%	28.0%	13.7%	19.6%	46.7%	8.9%		2.0%		39.1%	7.1%	1.5%		
lowa (n = 530)	16.4%	69.0%	14.3%	4.0%	5.2%	2.1%	5.8%		2.7%	53.2%	23.5%	3.7%		
Kansas (n= 348)	21.0%	61.3%	10.8%	8.7%	37.8%	34.6%	3.8%	*	1.2%	18.0%	13.1%	7.0%		
Kentucky (n = 176)	27.2%	29.6%	14.2%	13.0%	38.3%		1.2%		2.5%	40.1%	6.8%	4.9%		
Louisiana (n = 304)	19.7%	9.7%	*		58.0%				2.7%	46.5%				
Maine (n= 279)	26.9%	60.9%	11.5%	9.0%	4.3%	6.5%	4.7%	12.5%	19.4%	34.8%	43.0%	2.5%		
Maryland (n = 171)	46.5%	2.4%	2.4%	4.1%	90.0%	13.5%	10.1%	5.3%	1.8%	13.6%				
Massachusetts (n = 455)	35.4%	44.0%	18.4%	12.7%	17.6%	33.6%	19.4%		2.0%	22.9%	9.8%	1.8%		
Minnesota (n = 360)	37.1%	29.8%	5.1%	6.5%	67.4%	23.3%	16.6%			6.2%	2.0%			
Mississippi (n = 229)	35.0%	27.8%	17.9%	3.1%	61.0%	2.7%		2.7%	4.0%	25.6%				
Missouri (n = 319)	23.8%	24.5%	12.2%	12.5%	37.9%	2.8%	7.5%	12.9%		37.9%	5.0%	2.8%		
Montana (n =98)	35.7%	55.1%	17.3%	21.4%	8.2%	4.1%	8.2%		8.2%	44.9%	12.2%	5.2%		

Figure 83 (co	Figure 83 (con't): Sources of IT and Computer Support Provided to Public Library Outlets by State											
State	Non-IT specialist public service staff	Non-IT specialist library director	Non-IT specialist other	Building-based IT specialist	System-level IT staff	Library consortia or other library system	County/ City IT staff	State telecommunication s network staff	State library IT staff	Outside vendor/contractor	Volunteer(s)	Other
Nevada (n = 84)	28.6%	15.5%	3.6%	3.6%	48.8%	27.4%	22.6%		1.2%	10.7%	6.0%	13.1%
New Hampshire (n= 233)	37.7%	72.4%	9.6%	7.0%	4.4%	1.8%	13.5%			40.4%	31.1%	1.8%
New Jersey (n = 438)	44.9%	29.2%	8.2%	12.6%	34.8%	27.6%	6.1%		1.6%	29.2%	3.5%	*
New Mexico (n = 108)	42.5%	61.3%	21.9%	9.5%	18.9%	8.5%	35.8%		3.8%	24.8%	16.0%	3.8%
New York (n = 1,056)	40.6%	36.1%	8.0%	12.0%	58.5%	19.8%	1.3%	10.3%	10.6%	28.8%	10.9%	1.8%
North Carolina (n = 380)	23.9%	6.2%	14.8%	9.9%	57.8%	*	30.4%	*	*	24.2%	1.3%	1.3%
North Dakota (n= 79)	7.7%	62.0%	20.3%	10.3%	2.6%		12.8%	7.7%	15.2%	35.4%	20.3%	2.6%
Ohio (n = 688)	45.6%	16.2%	8.1%	12.2%	65.7%	12.4%	-	5.7%	3.5%	22.1%	1.5%	
Oklahoma (n = 201)	21.4%	36.3%	6.0%	2.0%	37.3%		13.9%	3.0%	2.0%	38.8%	8.0%	1.0%
Oregon (n = 210)	32.8%	33.3%	12.8%	6.4%	24.0%	23.0%	37.3%		*	18.6%	6.9%	2.9%
Pennsylvania (n = 626)	31.7%	41.7%	11.2%	8.8%	43.8%	20.5%	4.3%			28.5%	9.1%	6.3%
Rhode Island (n = 71)	40.8%	42.3%	5.6%	25.4%	40.0%	63.4%	5.6%			5.6%	16.9%	1
South Dakota (n= 139)	29.5%	48.2%	20.1%	10.9%	18.7%	2.9%	23.7%			39.6%	20.3%	1.4%
Tennessee (n = 277)	22.6%	43.0%	15.6%	7.0%	32.1%	6.3%	26.6%	9.6%	42.4%	4.8%	5.2%	1.1%
Texas (n = 800)	29.9%	43.7%	17.2%	10.4%	24.9%	10.4%	30.2%	*	3.7%	28.7%	10.2%	1.9%
Utah (n = 109)	28.4%	31.8%	33.0%	6.4%	13.8%		52.3%	7.3%	7.3%	32.1%	6.4%	1.8%
Vermont (n= 186)	16.1%	63.4%	7.0%	7.5%	1.6%		1.6%			55.9%	41.2%	1.6%
Virginia (n= 339)	35.7%	18.5%	4.8%	5.1%	63.4%	1.2%	36.9%			28.9%	2.4%	*
Washington (n= 326)	29.8%	6.5%	6.5%	6.5%	78.3%	1.9%	8.4%	1.9%	3.4%	8.7%	*	
Washington, DC (n = 24)					100%							
West Virginia (n = 172)	19.7%	40.8%	10.2%	2.5%	8.9%	20.1%	2.5%	8.8%	58.5%	2.5%	3.8%	1.3%
Wisconsin (n = 453)	24.1%	51.0%	8.5%	8.5%	54.2%	41.1%	6.5%	1.8%	1.8%	25.1%	5.6%	3.8%
Wyoming (n = 74)	45.2%	19.4%	12.3%	5.5%	37.5%		12.3%		6.9%	34.2%	4.1%	

Figure 83 (co	Figure 83 (con't): Sources of IT and Computer Support Provided to Public Library Outlets by State												
State	Non-IT specialist public service staff	Non-IT specialist library director	Non-IT specialist other	Building-based IT specialist	System-level IT staff	Library consortia or other library system	County/ City IT staff	State telecommunicatio ns network staff	State library IT staff	Outside vendor/contractor	Volunteer(s)	Other	
	29.9%	32.9%	10.7%	10.2%	42.3%	12.7%	14.0%	3.1%	5.2%	27.2%	8.5%	2.1%	
National	(n=4,704)	(n=5,187)	(n=1,687)	(n=1,614)	(n=6,663)	(n=2,002)	(n=2,201)	(n=482)	(n=817)	(n=4,279)	(n=1,344)	(n=332)	

Will not total 100%, as categories are not mutually exclusive

Weighted missing values, n=209

Key *=Insufficient data to report, -- = No data to report

The sources of IT support library outlets have are detailed in Figure 83. The most common support comes from system level IT staff (42.3 percent overall), with Washington DC (100 percent), Maryland (90.0 percent) and Hawaii (85.7 percent) most likely to benefit from this source. Library directors also play an integral part in IT support, with New Hampshire and Iowa depending on directors the most (72.4 and 69.0 percent, respectively) yet rarely do directors provide IT support in California (4.1 percent) or Maryland (2.4 percent). Although volunteers comprise only 8.5 percent of IT support nationally, Maine (43.0 percent) and Vermont (41.2 percent) heavily rely on them. State telecommunications staff overall provide very little support (3.1 percent overall) nor do other sources than the options available (2.1 percent). Building based IT specialists are particularly important for Illinois and Rhode Island (25.2 and 25.4 percent, respectively) as are State Library IT staff for West Virginia (58.5 percent) and Tennessee (42.2 percent).

Figure 84: Nu	Figure 84: Number of FTEs for IT and Computer Support Provided to Public Library Outlets by State													
State	Non-IT specialist public service staff	Non-IT specialist library director	Non-IT specialist other	Building-based IT specialist	System-level IT staff	Library consortia or other library system	County/ City IT staff	State telecommunicatio ns network staff	State library IT staff	Outside vendor/contractor	Volunteer(s)	Other		
Alabama (n = 267)	3.3	.95	1.0	3.8	1.4	.58	1.9		1.2	.69	1.6			
Alaska (n = 116)	2.3	.61	.47	5.0	.43	1.0	1.2	2.3	.25	.92	.47	.25		
Arizona (n = 197)	3.7	.67		1.6	9.0	1.0	1.4		_	19.4	.50	1.0		
California (n = 1,058)	1.1	.60	.53	1.6	7.4	.65	1.1			.46	.50			
Colorado (n= 242)	4.5	1.9	.13	.83	3.5	8.0	.78		.25	.76	.38	.25		
Connecticut (n = 219)	1.1	.51	.75	1.2	1.0	.89	.95	.13		1.8	.41	.29		
Delaware (n = 29)	3.0	.80	.50	.63	1.5	1.8	3.0		1.6					
Florida (n = 459)	1.9	.82	1.5	1.5	3.0	2.6	3.1		I	.95	.25	.50		
Georgia (n = 330)	1.6	1.3	.92	.79	1.1	.25	2.2		.25	.61	.50	1.0		
Hawaii (n= 49)		.85	*	1.0	12.4		1.0		4.0			10.0		
Illinois (n = 722)	2.3	1.4	.63	1.9	2.4	2.6	.50	.25	1	.63	.51	.56		
Indiana (n = 399)	1.6	3.7	.86	1.1	3.5	.42		.25		.90	.42	.39		
lowa (n = 530)	1.0	.71	3.5	.91	1.1	.63	.85		.56	.56	.48	.25		
Kansas (n= 348)	1.4	1.8	.61	2.2	.98	1.2	.89	1.0	1.5	.46	.59	9.1		
Kentucky (n = 176)	2.1	.85	1.0	.73	1.1		1.0		1.0	.79	.63	.65		
Louisiana (n = 304)	.58	.80			4.4				.25	.95				
Maine (n= 279)	.74	.63	.50	.67	1.6	.75	1.4	.38	.53	.48	1.1	.25		
Maryland (n = 171)	2.5	.25	.83	4.9	6.3	3.8	2.4	.25	.25	.95				
Massachusetts (n = 455)	1.7	1.7	.62	.96	2.0	1.4	1.2		.58	.53	.30	.25		
Minnesota (n = 360)	.82	.73	.33		1.3	1.1	5.3			.43				
Mississippi (n = 229)	1.3	1.3	1.5	1.0	1.9	.25		.70	.63	.50				
Missouri (n = 319)	1.2	.79	.80	1.1	4.5	.66	2.7	13.1	-	.72	1.0	1.0		
Montana (n =98)	1.2	.68	.49	.96	.84	.25	.56		.75	.41	.32	.33		
Nevada (n = 84)	3.0	.53	*	.25	9.9	.66	.91		1.0	.46	.56	.25		

Figure 84 (cor	ı't): Numl	er of FTE	s for IT a	nd Comp	uter Sup	port Prov	ided to P	ublic Libr	ary Outle	ts by Sta	te	
State	Non-IT specialist public service staff	Non-IT specialist library director	Non-IT specialist other	Building-based IT specialist	System-level IT staff	Library consortia or other library system	County/ City IT staff	State telecommunicatio ns network staff	State library IT staff	Outside vendor/contractor	Volunteer(s)	Other
New Hampshire (n= 233)	.94	2.4	.33	.79	.53	-	1.3		-	.67	.52	*
New Jersey (n = 438)	2.5	.65	.66	1.1	3.1	1.9	2.1		.63	1.1	.32	1
New Mexico (n = 108)	1.5	.83	.91	1.4	.81	.88	1.6		.63	.77	.49	.25
New York (n = 1,056)	1.3	.84	.81	1.1	4.2	2.0	1.7	1	.50	.60	.61	.52
North Carolina (n = 380)	1.0	.60	.69	1.3	1.6	.25	1.4	.25	.25	.91	.25	.42
North Dakota (n= 79)	1.9	.90	.29	.88	.25	_	.75	.25	.73	.60	.76	.25
Ohio (n = 688)	4.5	1.8	.91	.94	9.1	2.2			-	1.3	.33	
Oklahoma (n = 201)	3.7	3.8	.50	1.0	7.0	-	1.3	1.0	-	.83	.44	1.0
Oregon (n = 210)	1.3	.61	.58	1.4	1.5	1.3	.85		.25	.49	.91	.25
Pennsylvania (n = 626)	2.0	1.4	.97	.87	2.2	.76	.95		-	.58	.44	.57
Rhode Island (n = 71)	3.2	.84	.50	.62	4.5	1.8	*		-		-	
South Dakota (n= 139)	.88	.62	.71	.73	.42	.25	.57		-	.47	.46	.50
Tennessee (n = 277)	1.4	3.3	.71	.98	5.0	.47	2.5	.78	1.0	.91	.57	1.0
Texas (n = 800)	2.2	.89	.67	1.2	3.2	1.1	1.3		.85	1.6	.67	1.0
Utah (n = 109)	.78	.64	.59	1.0	3.9	1	1.0	.43	I	2.0	.39	.50
Vermont (n= 186)	.61	.51	.20	.72	.63	1	.25		I	.47	.63	1.0
Virginia (n= 339)	2.2	.73	.52	1.4	1.4	.25	1.5			.45	.63	
Washington (n= 326)	.91	.78	.53	1.3	1.9	.38	2.5	.58	.25	.65	.50	1
Washington, DC (n = 24)		I	I	-	9.0	1			I		I	
West Virginia (n = 172)	.99	1.6	.48	1.0	2.5	1.7	1.0	2.4	3.1	5.3	.25	
Wisconsin (n = 453)	1.2	.69	.62	.91	1.3	2.0	1.4			.45	.25	.25
Wyoming (n = 74)	.84	.64	1.0	1.8	1.7	-	2.1		1.4	1.1	.13	
National Key *=Insufficient	1.9 (n=4,691)	.69 (n=4,507)	.68 (n=1,002)	1.2 (n=1,511)	3.9 (n=6,192)	1.6 (n=1,468)	1.5 (n=1,874)	.95 (n=139)	.82 (n=526)	.72 (n=2,825)	.53 (n=892)	.54 (n=222)

Key *=Insufficient data to report
--=No data to report

Note: Some of the library outlets have large support staffs due to their metropolitan status. This accounts for the higher averages of FTE's.

Figure 84 presents the average number of full time equivalents (FTEs) for IT and computer support. Libraries in Colorado and Ohio had, on average, 4.5 FTEs for non-IT specialist public service staff, which is greater than any other state and the national average. Indiana and Tennessee had the highest averages (3.7 and 3.3) of FTEs for non-IT specialist library directors. The highest average of system level IT staff was in Hawaii (12.4). Few states had libraries with full-time state telecommunications network staff. Arizona and Virginia had libraries with the greatest averages (19.4 and 5.3, respectively) of outside vendors.

Figure 85: Public Library Outlet Maximum Speed of Public Access Internet Services												
State	Less than 256 Kbps	257 Kbps – 768 Kbps	769 Kbps - 1.4 Mbps	1.5 Mbps T1	1.6 Mbps- 3.0 Mbps	3.1Mbps- 6.0Mbps	6.1 Mbps- 10 Mbps	Greater than 10 Mbps	Don't Know			
Alabama (n = 278)	2.9%	10.2%	2.4%	26.7%	2.4%	13.6%	10.7%	12.1%	19.4%			
Alaska (n = 117)	13.8%	46.8%	14.7%	*	2.8%	12.0%		-	10.1%			
Arizona (n = 210)	1.7%	4.0%	7.9%	14.1%	10.7%	13.0%	13.6%	29.4%	5.6%			
California (n = 1,099)	1.1%	2.3%	8.7%	43.6%	9.0%	12.4%	11.5%	10.6%	*			
Colorado (n= 242)	*	8.9%	13.8%	12.5%	14.2%	12.9%	8.5%	21.3%	7.1%			
Connecticut (n = 245)	1.6%	4.9%	11.5%	7.7%	7.7%	18.2%	7.7%	29.1%	11.0%			
Delaware (n = 31)		5.6%	-	22.2%		5.6%	47.4%	15.8%				
Florida (n = 497)	2.0%	3.0%	9.1%	10.8%	10.8%	7.8%	22.4%	29.1%	4.5%			
Georgia (n = 341)		-	*	33.5%	22.2%	18.9%	*	10.9%	13.0%			
Hawaii (n= 50)	54.5%	-	-	20.5%	14.0%			-	11.6%			
Illinois (n = 794)	4.1%	2.5%	8.9%	23.5%	13.8%	12.4%	9.5%	10.7%	14.7%			
Indiana (n = 438)	4.0%	2.2%	6.2%	29.4%	15.4%	7.5%	4.6%	17.8%	13.0%			
lowa (n = 563)	9.8%	28.6%	11.8%	13.3%	11.5%	4.5%	5.3%	7.3%	7.8%			
Kansas (n= 360)	3.7%	13.5%	12.3%	21.2%	11.7%	15.1%	7.1%	10.2%	5.5%			
Kentucky (n = 193)	2.5%	5.1%	12.0%	17.8%	16.6%	19.6%	17.1%	4.5%	3.8%			
Louisiana (n = 335)	2.5%	-	5.3%	29.5%	10.2%	2.8%	30.2%	20.0%				
Maine (n= 281)	2.1%	6.9%	7.8%	33.5%	5.6%	8.6%	3.0%	12.0%	20.6%			
Maryland (n = 179)	-	2.5%	3.1%	29.6%	6.2%	9.9%	27.3%	21.0%				
Massachusetts (n = 482)	1.4%	17.8%	19.5%	17.6%	8.8%	7.1%	12.6%	8.6%	6.7%			
Minnesota (n = 360)		25.5%	14.5%	21.7%	7.8%	1.2%	3.5%	14.0%	12.2%			
Mississippi (n = 241)	10.2%	7.9%	6.5%	38.0%	22.2%	*	3.7%	_	10.6%			
Missouri (n = 358)	2.3%	3.0%	4.6%	34.1%	13.2%	5.3%	2.3%	20.6%	14.6%			
Montana (n =108)	4.2%	20.0%	21.1%	9.6%	9.5%	3.2%	10.6%	5.3%	17.0%			
Nevada (n = 85)	12.3%	17.1%	4.9%	6.2%	3.7%	3.7%	7.4%	39.5%	6.2%			
New Hampshire (n= 237)	2.0%	17.8%	12.9%	4.5%	13.9%	9.4%	6.4%	5.0%	27.7%			
New Jersey (n = 454)	1.3%	5.1%	13.7%	27.0%	5.4%	7.6%	14.6%	17.3%	8.1%			

Figure 85 (cor	ı't): Public L	ibrary Outlet	Maximum Sp	peed of Publi	c Access Inte	ernet Service	s		
State	Less than 256 Kbps	257 Kbps – 768 Kbps	769 Kbps - 1.4 Mbps	1.5 Mbps T1	1.6 Mbps- 3.0 Mbps	3.1Mbps- 6.0Mbps	6.1 Mbps- 10 Mbps	Greater than 10 Mbps	Don't Know
New Mexico (n = 120)	2.0%	26.0%	8.1%	25.0%	8.0%	2.0%	10.1%	9.1%	9.0%
New York (n = 1,069)	1.9%	7.8%	8.3%	33.7%	7.7%	16.4%	10.5%	7.6%	6.2%
North Carolina (n = 380)	1.6%	12.8%	5.7%	8.2%	19.1%	23.4%	19.9%	8.4%	*
North Dakota (n= 91)	5.6%	5.6%	5.6%	12.7%	2.8%	20.8%	11.3%	14.1%	20.8%
Ohio (n = 719)	*	1.1%	8.3%	23.0%	4.6%	*	35.0%	19.4%	7.2%
Oklahoma (n = 207)	3.1%	6.3%	10.4%	21.5%	2.1%	6.3%	9.9%	29.3%	11.5%
Oregon (n = 210)	4.8%	12.2%	7.0%	26.1%	12.2%	9.6%	14.4%	8.5%	4.8%
Pennsylvania (n = 634)	2.6%	6.6%	11.5%	12.8%	10.0%	17.8%	11.0%	10.5%	17.1%
Rhode Island (n = 72)	11.7%			45.8%	6.7%			6.7%	31.7%
South Dakota (n= 145)	6.2%		8.5%	8.5%	7.8%	1.6%	15.4%	10.9%	13.1%
Tennessee (n = 289)	3.5%	13.3%	3.5%	15.4%	9.8%	42.7%	3.9%	1.2%	6.7%
Texas (n = 859)	4.4%	15.1%	10.5%	14.6%	9.7%	11.0%	6.5%	14.6%	13.5%
Utah (n = 113)		7.4%	11.8%	18.1%	5.3%		24.5%	16.0%	16.0%
Vermont (n= 191)	1.2%	9.9%	14.3%	6.2%	9.9%	11.2%	1.9%	6.2%	39.1%
Virginia (n= 341)	1.9%	5.0%	17.6%	28.2%	9.0%	11.1%	10.9%	16.7%	
Washington (n= 330)	5.1%	4.7%	5.1%	26.9%	21.2%	3.8%	12.0%	16.1%	5.4%
Washington, DC (n = 27)		16.7%	25.0%					58.3%	
West Virginia (n = 174)	11.7%		-	86.6%		1.2%		-	
Wisconsin (n = 458)		2.4%	3.1%	81.7%	4.2%	3.1%	2.2%	2.9%	1.3%
Wyoming (n = 74)	1.4%	19.7%	22.2%	19.7%	8.5%	5.6%	7.0%	12.7%	1.4%
National	3.4% (n=505)	9.2% (n=1,357)	9.3% (n=1,364)	25.5% (n=3,753)	10.0% (n=1,470)	11.2% (n=1,654)	11.0% (n=1,619)	12.3% (n=1,804)	8.1% (n=1,189)

Weighted missing values, n=1,250

Key *=Insufficient data to report
--=No data to report

The maximum speed of public access Internet service is shown in Figure 85. The largest percentage of libraries report having 1.5 Mbps (T1) connection (25.5 percent), which was the largest reported category in 2007-2008 (38.9 percent) as well. In 2008-2009, West Virginia (86.6 percent) and Rhode Island (45.8 percent) outlets reported the highest percentage of T1 connections. Significantly, 2008-2009 responses indicate 44.5 percent of all outlets have greater than a T1 connection, up from 25.7 percent in 2008-2009. Overall, there is a 5 percent increase over last year of outlets having 6.1 to 10 Mbps, and now 12.3 percent of outlets have greater than 10 Mbps, up from 8.6 percent in 2007-2008. More than half (58.3 percent) of outlets in Washington, DC have greater than 10 Mbps, and 39.5 percent of outlets in Nevada do. Unfortunately, 54.5 percent of outlets in Hawaii reported they have less than 256 Kbps, and a total of 60.6 percent of libraries in Alaska have less than 769 Kbps. The specific speed categories changed from the 2007-2008 survey therefore direct comparison between years within certain speeds is not possible.

State	DSL	Cable	Leased Line	Municipal Networks	State Network	Satellite	Fiber	Wireless	Other	Don't Know
Alabama (n = 278)	62.3%	9.9%	12.3%	5.9%	2.4%	1.6%	6.0%	16.6%	2.8%	
Alaska (n = 117)	46.0%	6.2%	1.8%	6.2%		38.1%		24.6%	7.1%	
Arizona (n = 210)	44.3%	26.3%	11.3%	9.8%		2.1%	17.0%	17.5%	20.7%	
California (n = 1,099)	22.5%	11.6%	42.9%	4.9%	1.1%	*	19.0%	14.7%	2.2%	*
Colorado (n= 242)	23.0%	19.7%	16.3%	2.5%	3.8%	3.8%	28.5%	24.6%	4.6%	
Connecticut (n = 245)	42.1%	21.8%	5.6%	10.2%	22.7%		22.1%	21.3%	1.4%	
Delaware (n = 31)	14.3%		14.3%	3.6%	17.9%		46.4%		14.3%	
Florida (n = 497)	25.2%	29.8%	15.9%	7.3%			41.4%	25.7%	2.0%	
Georgia (n = 341)	*	*	32.8%		76.8%		12.8%	16.6%		
Hawaii (n= 50)	6.5%	10.9%	71.7%		23.9%					
Illinois (n = 794)	27.8%	19.1%	21.4%	6.5%	30.6%	1.1%	9.6%	23.5%	1.0%	*
Indiana (n = 438)	17.2%	10.3%	23.9%	*	26.0%	1.3%	19.5%	18.6%	1.3%	*
lowa (n = 563)	55.6%	16.5%	2.4%	2.2%	1.4%	2.2%	7.2%	27.5%	2.8%	1.0%
Kansas (n= 360)	42.2%	24.9%	8.5%	*	1.8%	*	11.4%	26.7%	2.6%	*
Kentucky (n = 193)	57.5%	10.0%	20.1%		2.5%		11.9%	28.8%	15.0%	
Louisiana (n = 335)	4.7%	4.3%	37.5%		44.5%		24.1%	16.1%		
Maine (n= 281)	12.5%	19.0%	21.6%		59.5%		5.0%	34.8%	2.5%	

State	DSL	Cable	Leased Line	Municipal Networks	State Network	Satellite	Fiber	Wireless	Other	Don't Know
Maryland (n = 179)	8.5%	7.9%	31.7%		15.9%		43.9%	19.5%		
Massachusetts (n = 482)	9.9%	46.0%	28.2%	10.5%	5.4%	3.6%	3.6%	29.5%	7.2%	
Minnesota (n = 360)	29.2%	11.0%	31.0%	4.8%	5.1%		13.4%	32.4%	6.8%	
Mississippi (n = 241)	21.7%	5.0%	36.4%		22.5%		33.6%	36.4%	1.4%	2.7%
Missouri (n = 358)	9.2%	*	26.9%		60.7%		18.4%	16.1%		4.7%
Montana (n =108)	64.3%	10.2%	8.2%		7.1%	2.0%	3.1%	34.7%	3.1%	
Nevada (n = 85)	54.2%	41.0%	34.5%	7.1%	6.0%	6.0%	34.5%	33.7%	11.9%	
New Hampshire (n= 237)	20.6%	61.0%		6.6%		1.8%	5.7%	22.4%	7.9%	
New Jersey (n = 454)	19.3%	40.2%	40.0%	3.3%	18.3%		16.4%	34.3%	4.5%	
New Mexico (n = 120)	46.2%	5.7%	5.7%	16.0%		5.7%	8.5%	28.3%	3.8%	
New York (n = 1,069)	11.7%	52.7%	32.8%	*		*	7.9%	14.8%	*	*
North Carolina (n = 380)	27.0%	27.0%	17.3%	3.0%	*	*	28.6%	10.5%	*	*
North Dakota (n= 91)	68.4%	13.2%		2.6%	26.3%		15.8%	15.8%	1.3%	2.6%
Ohio (n = 719)	3.8%	7.1%	13.2%		57.1%	1.0%	42.8%	8.2%	3.5%	
Oklahoma (n = 207)	6.0%	8.0%	36.2%	2.0%	20.6%	1.0%	25.1%	31.3%	1.0%	
Oregon (n = 210)	41.1%	9.4%	16.3%	4.9%	1.5%	2.0%	23.3%	15.3%	2.0%	
Pennsylvania (n = 634)	29.9%	30.1%	21.0%	2.9%	-		18.3%	17.4%	5.7%	*

Figure 86 (co	n't): Public Lil	brary Outlet Ty	pe of Public A	ccess Interne	t Services by S	tate				
State	DSL	Cable	Leased Line	Municipal Networks	State Network	Satellite	Fiber	Wireless	Other	Don't Know
Rhode Island (n = 72)	14.3%	27.1%	15.7%		57.7%				12.7%	
South Dakota (n= 145)	54.4%	24.8%	5.1%	2.9%	8.0%	2.9%	5.8%	25.5%	5.9%	
Tennessee (n = 289)	45.0%	22.5%	3.0%			1.5%	26.6%	16.3%	*	
Texas (n = 859)	29.7%	20.0%	19.2%	5.8%		2.0%	17.4%	33.7%	5.3%	*
Utah (n = 113)	33.6%	1.9%	29.0%	9.3%	10.3%		17.8%	22.4%	*	
Vermont (n= 191)	44.6%	55.9%		1.1%		1.6%	3.2%	22.0%	1.1%	
Virginia (n= 341)	24.0%	20.4%	26.1%	12.9%	6.6%		5.7%	17.4%	2.4%	
Washington (n= 330)	9.7%	5.0%	32.8%	4.7%	11.6%	*	27.5%	10.3%	11.3%	
Washington, DC (n = 27)			41.7%	50.0%			50.0%			
West Virginia (n = 174)	12.2%	1.2%	98.8%				1.2%	14.0%	3.5%	
Wisconsin (n = 458)	24.7%	9.4%	20.6%	3.0%	44.6%	-	10.8%	34.8%	1.6%	
Wyoming (n = 74)	69.4%	16.4%		4.1%	1.4%	1.4%	1.4%	21.9%		
National	25.8% (n=4,021)	22.0% (n=3,428)	23.3% (n=3,635)	3.1% (n=484)	12.5% (n=1,946)	1.3% (n=209)	17.5% (n=2,730)	21.0% (n=3,283)	3.1% (n=482)	*

Weighted missing values, n=359

Key: *: Insufficient data to report

--: No data to report

The types of public access Internet services available in public libraries are shown in Figure 86. A new category in the 2008-2009 survey is wireless, which a total of 21.0 percent of libraries reported having. The highest percentage of libraries (25.8 percent) have DSL service, with Wyoming and North Dakota being most likely to use DSL (69.4 and 68.4 percent, respectively). Similar to 2007-2008, approximately one-quarter (23.3 percent) of outlets have Leased lines (28.6 percent of outlets reported this last year), and another 22.0 percent report that they have cable for their Internet service. New Hampshire has proportionately much higher cable service (61.0 percent) than the national average, and virtually all libraries in West Virginia (98.8 percent) have a leased line. Very few outlets report the use of satellite (1.3 percent overall, with the exception of Alaska which reported 38.1 percent of outlets use satellite. Municipal networks are also rare (3.1 percent overall), with fiber being more common (17.5 percent), particularly in Washington, DC (50.0 percent) and Delaware (46.4 percent).

Figure 87: Public	Access Wireless Interne	t Connectivity in Public Librar	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
State	Currently available	Not currently available, but there are plans to make it available within the next year	Not currently available and no plans to make it available within the next year
Alabama (n = 278)	54.5%	14.6%	31.1%
Alaska (n = 117)	70.2%	10.6%	18.6%
Arizona (n = 210)	75.1%	19.9%	5.1%
California (n = 1,099)	75.9%	10.8%	13.3%
Colorado (n= 242)	81.7%	1.7%	16.7%
Connecticut (n = 245)	78.9%	6.0%	15.2%
Delaware (n = 31)	30.0%	27.6%	41.4%
Florida (n = 497)	80.3%	7.6%	12.1%
Georgia (n = 341)	64.3%	19.0%	16.7%
Hawaii (n= 50)		35.4%	64.6%
Illinois (n = 794)	72.9%	7.0%	20.1%
Indiana (n = 438)	75.5%	4.2%	20.6%
lowa (n = 563)	77.1%	7.2%	15.7%
Kansas (n= 360)	76.5%	8.8%	14.7%
Kentucky (n = 193)	91.3%		8.8%
Louisiana (n = 335)	65.6%	17.4%	17.3%
Maine (n= 281)	84.6%	6.5%	9.0%
Maryland (n = 179)	88.8%	9.4%	1.8%
Massachusetts (n = 482)	81.1%	7.3%	11.6%
Minnesota (n = 360)	84.1%	3.7%	12.2%
Mississippi (n = 241)	74.8%	3.1%	22.4%
Missouri (n = 358)	59.2%	11.4%	29.3%
Montana (n =108)	69.4%	14.3%	17.3%
Nevada (n = 85)	50.0%	6.0%	44.0%
New Hampshire (n= 237)	82.0%	9.6%	8.3%

State	Currently available	Internet Connectivity in Public Not currently available, but there are plans to make it available within the next year	Not currently available and no plans to make it available within the next year
New Jersey (n = 454)	85.0%	7.0%	7.9%
New Mexico (n = 120)	59.0%	25.5%	16.0%
New York (n = 1,069)	85.3%	8.6%	6.1%
North Carolina (n = 380)	67.7%	11.0%	21.2%
North Dakota (n= 91)	33.8%	37.7%	28.6%
Ohio (n = 719)	87.3%	5.8%	6.9%
Oklahoma (n = 207)	97.0%	1.0%	2.0%
Oregon (n = 210)	71.4%	10.1%	18.6%
Pennsylvania (n = 634)	78.5%	12.7%	8.8%
Rhode Island (n = 72)	100%		
South Dakota (n= 145)	56.0%	8.1%	35.8%
Tennessee (n = 289)	72.0%	7.9%	20.2%
Texas (n = 859)	73.5%	7.5%	19.1%
Utah (n = 113)	68.2%	6.5%	25.9%
Vermont (n= 191)	88.0%	4.8%	7.2%
Virginia (n= 341)	72.3%	16.1%	11.6%
Washington (n= 330)	90.1%	4.3%	5.3%
Washington, DC (n = 27)	100%		
West Virginia (n = 174)	66.7%	9.4%	23.8%
Wisconsin (n = 458)	90.6%	6.7%	2.5%
Wyoming (n = 74)	75.0%	11.0%	15.1%
National	76.4% (n=11,911)	9.2% (n=1,437)	14.4% (n=2,240)

Key -- = No data to report

Whether or not wireless Internet service is available in public libraries is shown in Figure 87. Slightly more than three quarters of outlets (76.4 percent) do provide wireless, which is up approximately 10 percent over 2007-2008 (65.9 percent). All libraries in Washington, DC and Rhode Island do provide wireless Internet access, yet it is relatively rare in other states such as North Dakota (33.8 percent) and Delaware (30.0 percent). Wireless service is non-existent in Hawaii, with 64.6 percent of outlets reporting they do not provide wireless and have no plans to provide it. A total of 35.8 percent of outlets in South Dakota and 41.4 percent of outlets in Delaware also reported no plans on providing wireless access, which is well above the national average of 14.4 percent. Almost ten percent (9.2) of libraries that do not provide wireless are planning on making it available within the next year.

Figure 88: Pub	Ilic Library Outlet Share Yes, both the wireless	d Wireless-Workstation Yes, both the wireless	Bandwidth by State	
State	connection and public access workstations share bandwidth/connection, no management techniques	connection and public access workstations share bandwidth/connection, but have management techniques	No, the wireless connection is separate from the public access workstation bandwidth/connection	Don't Know
Alabama (n = 278)	55.4%	20.2%	20.9%	3.1%
Alaska (n = 117)	55.8%	20.8%	13.0%	10.4%
Arizona (n = 210)	44.2%	37.2%	18.4%	
California (n = 1,099)	19.1%	40.3%	39.8%	*
Colorado (n= 242)	39.7%	18.9%	41.3%	-
Connecticut (n = 245)	46.7%	6.7%	46.7%	
Delaware (n = 31)	83.3%		16.7%	
Florida (n = 497)	36.0%	33.2%	30.1%	*
Georgia (n = 341)	51.3%	30.2%	18.5%	
Hawaii (n= 50)			-	
Illinois (n = 794)	63.6%	24.4%	10.7%	1.4%
Indiana (n = 438)	53.3%	32.6%	12.5%	1.7%
lowa (n = 563)	72.3%	13.9%	11.6%	2.1%
Kansas (n= 360)	61.6%	13.6%	24.0%	*
Kentucky (n = 193)	71.1%	14.1%	12.0%	2.8%
Louisiana (n = 335)	86.6%	6.7%	6.7%	
Maine (n= 281)	75.4%	6.3%	14.3%	4.0%
Maryland (n = 179)	24.3%	60.8%	12.8%	2.0%
Massachusetts (n = 482)	45.0%	13.0%	41.9%	
Minnesota (n = 360)	31.1%	48.1%	14.5%	6.2%
Mississippi (n = 241)	51.5%	43.6%	1.2%	3.7%
Missouri (n = 358)	53.8%	22.0%	24.3%	
Montana (n =108)	68.2%	15.2%	16.7%	

Figure 88 (con't): Public Library Outlet Shared Wireless-Workstation Bandwidth by State								
State	Yes, both the wireless connection and public access workstations share bandwidth/connection, no management techniques	Yes, both the wireless connection and public access workstations share bandwidth/connection, but have management techniques	No, the wireless connection is separate from the public access workstation bandwidth/connection	Don't Know				
Nevada (n = 85)	11.9%	85.7%	2.4%					
New Hampshire (n= 237)	64.6%	10.1%	18.0%	7.3%				
New Jersey (n = 454)	24.0%	12.4%	62.0%	1.4%				
New Mexico (n = 120)	51.7%	13.8%	25.9%	8.5%				
New York (n = 1,069)	41.3%	27.6%	29.7%	1.4%				
North Carolina (n = 380)	46.0%	25.6%	28.0%	*				
North Dakota (n= 91)	69.2%	7.7%	15.4%	7.7%				
Ohio (n = 719)	46.3%	27.6%	25.2%	1.0%				
Oklahoma (n = 207)	57.1%	29.5%	11.6%	2.1%				
Oregon (n = 210)	56.1%	23.0%	16.7%	4.3%				
Pennsylvania (n = 634)	49.9%	19.8%	25.1%	5.1%				
Rhode Island (n = 72)	29.2%	49.2%	21.2%					
South Dakota (n= 145)	64.4%	8.2%	21.6%	5.5%				
Tennessee (n = 289)	44.4%	37.8%	15.0%	2.7%				
Texas (n = 859)	61.1%	9.6%	26.7%	2.6%				
Utah (n = 113)	52.1%	12.7%	26.8%	9.9%				
Vermont (n= 191)	77.2%	3.7%	12.6%	5.9%				
Virginia (n= 341)	64.9%	24.3%	10.9%	-				
Washington (n= 330)	45.7%	42.9%	10.8%	*				
Washington, DC (n = 27)	100%							
West Virginia (n = 174)	57.0%	20.6%	14.8%	7.5%				
Wisconsin (n = 458)	50.4%	24.7%	19.0%	5.7%				
Wyoming (n = 74)	88.7%	3.8%	7.5%					

State	Yes, both the wireless connection and public access workstations share bandwidth/connection, no management techniques	Yes, both the wireless connection and public access workstations share bandwidth/connection, but have management techniques	No, the wireless connection is separate from the public access workstation bandwidth/connection	Don't Know
	49.9%	24.9%	23.0%	2.2%
National	(n=5,771)	(n=2,875)	(n=2,656)	(n=255)

Weighted missing values, n=353 **Key** *=Insufficient data to report
--=No data to report

Figure 88 details whether or not the wireless and public access workstations share the same bandwidth or connection in libraries that do provide wireless access. Almost one half (49.9 percent) of outlets have a shared bandwidth/connection, and do not utilize any management techniques. The states most likely to share connections are Washington, DC (100 percent), Wyoming (88.7 percent) and Louisiana (86.6 percent). States that tend to share the bandwidth yet have management techniques are Maryland (60.8 percent) and Rhode Island (49.2 percent), and states reporting the highest percentage of having a separate connection for wireless are New Jersey (62.0 percent) and Massachusetts (41.9 percent). Although the questions were slightly different in the 2007-2008 survey, a total of 71.6 percent of libraries reported sharing this connection that year, which is consistent with the combined total of 74.8 percent sharing and either using management techniques or not using management techniques in 2008-2009.

Figure 89: Adequacy of Public Library Outlet Public Access Internet Connection Speed by State								
State	The connection speed is insufficient to meet patron needs	The connection speed is sufficient to meet patron needs at some times	The connection speed is sufficient to meet patron needs at all times	Don't know				
Alabama (n = 278)	21.3%	45.1%	33.5%					
Alaska (n = 117)	25.4%	53.5%	21.2%	-				
Arizona n = 210)	21.8%	55.3%	22.8%					
California (n = 1,099)	21.6%	47.5%	29.2%	1.7%				
Colorado (n= 242)	20.0%	46.7%	33.3%					
Connecticut (n = 245)	14.7%	26.7%	58.5%					
Delaware (n = 31)	23.3%	43.3%	33.3%					
Florida (n = 497)	20.4%	51.6%	25.9%	2.2%				
Georgia (n = 341)	5.4%	58.5%	35.9%					
Hawaii (n= 50)	64.6%	31.9%	2.1%					
Illinois (n = 794)	18.7%	43.6%	37.7%					
Indiana (n = 438)	12.5%	34.5%	52.4%					
lowa (n = 563)	16.8%	38.8%	43.8%	*				
Kansas (n= 360)	19.0%	35.7%	44.4%	*				
Kentucky (n = 193)	10.6%	39.6%	50.0%					
Louisiana (n = 335)	20.1%	34.7%	45.5%					
Maine (n= 281)	6.5%	34.9%	58.6%					
Maryland (n = 179)	12.9%	24.1%	63.3%					
Massachusetts (n = 482)	21.0%	47.9%	30.1%	*				
Minnesota (n = 360)	21.6%	52.3%	25.2%	1.1%				
Mississippi (n = 241)	24.8%	42.6%	31.5%	*				
Missouri (n = 358)	15.2%	45.3%	39.6%					
Montana 'n =108)	10.2%	40.2%	48.0%	2.0%				
Nevada (n = 85)	9.5%	69.9%	20.5%	-				

Figure 89 (con'	t): Adequacy of Public		ccess Internet Connecti	on Speed by State
State	The connection speed is insufficient to meet patron needs	The connection speed is sufficient to meet patron needs at some times	The connection speed is sufficient to meet patron needs at all times	Don't know
New Hampshire (n= 237)	18.0%	33.3%	48.7%	
New Jersey (n = 454)	10.8%	45.6%	43.6%	
New Mexico (n = 120)	19.8%	41.5%	38.7%	
New York (n = 1,069)	25.1%	29.4%	45.3%	*
North Carolina (n = 380)	15.3%	38.4%	46.0%	*
North Dakota (n= 91)	15.4%	26.0%	59.0%	
Ohio (n = 719)	11.8%	54.4%	33.8%	
Oklahoma (n = 207)	12.5%	28.4%	59.2%	
Oregon (n = 210)	12.0%	42.2%	45.2%	*
Pennsylvania (n = 634)	17.8%	35.2%	46.8%	
Rhode Island (n = 72)	18.3%	54.3%	10.0%	16.9%
South Dakota (n= 145)	18.2%	39.4%	42.3%	
Tennessee (n = 289)	16.4%	52.6%	30.2%	*
Texas (n = 859)	15.6%	48.5%	34.8%	1.2%
Utah (n = 113)	9.2%	25.7%	64.2%	
Vermont (n= 191)	8.2%	30.6%	61.2%	
Virginia (n= 341)	19.3%	46.1%	34.5%	
Washington (n= 330)	11.5%	40.7%	47.8%	
Washington, DC (n = 27)	50.0%	41.7%	8.3%	
West Virginia (n = 174)	33.1%	41.3%	24.4%	1.2%
Wisconsin (n = 458)	11.7%	53.2%	34.2%	*
Wyoming (n = 74)	12.5%	54.8%	31.9%	
National	17.7% (n=2,774)	41.9% (n=6,557)	39.9% (n=6,240)	*

Weighted missing values, n=316 **Key** *=Insufficient data to report
--=No data to report

The adequacy of connection speeds in public libraries is shown in Figure 89 and the results are very similar to results from 2007-2008. Less than half of outlets (39.9 percent) report that their connection speed is sufficient to meet patron needs at all times, yet only 8.3 percent of libraries in Washington, DC and 10.0 percent in Rhode Island reported this as being the case. Fortunately, the lowest overall percentage is for connection speeds being insufficient (17.7 percent), although 64.6 percent of Hawaiian libraries and 50 percent of Washington, DC libraries reported insufficiency, far above the national average. Having a connection speed that is sufficient only at some times during the day was reported by slightly more libraries (41.9 percent) than libraries reporting complete sufficiency, and is the most problematic for Nevada, with 69.9 percent reporting this, and Georgia (58.5 percent). However, the majority of libraries (59.6 percent total) indicated that their connection speed is insufficient either at some times during the day or always.

State	No, the connection speed is already at the maximum level available	No, there is no interest in increasing the speed of public access Internet connection	Yes there is interest in increasing the branch's bandwidth, but the library cannot currently afford to	Yes and there are plans in place to increase the bandwidth within the next year	It is possible to increase the speed; however, there are no plans in place to increase the bandwidth within the next year	There is interest but the branch lacks the technical knowledge to increase the bandwidth in the library	Other
Alabama (n = 278)	26.3%	16.7%	32.1%	7.9%	8.3%	4.1%	4.6%
Alaska n = 117)	41.6%	11.5%	23.9%	6.2%	9.7%	1.8%	4.4%
Arizona (n = 210)	31.1%	3.2%	22.1%	5.2%	34.0%		4.2%
California (n = 1,099)	15.8%	10.5%	34.4%	18.0%	12.4%		8.8%
Colorado (n= 242)	20.3%	11.1%	21.6%	11.9%	19.1%	*	14.8%
Connecticut (n = 245)	38.4%	36.0%	12.3%	1.4%	7.1%	*	3.8%
Delaware (n = 31)	17.9%	14.3%	21.4%	25.0%	3.6%		14.3%
Florida (n = 497)	26.2%	15.2%	18.8%	11.0%	23.9%		5.0%
Georgia (n = 341)	23.5%	11.2%	31.9%	14.6%	12.2%		6.5%
Hawaii (n= 50)	2.2%		32.6%	43.5%	8.7%		10.9%
llinois (n = 794)	21.2%	20.7%	24.6%	15.8%	13.5%	*	3.7%
ndiana (n = 438)	15.3%	20.7%	28.8%	10.9%	24.4%		
owa (n = 563)	35.2%	19.3%	19.7%	6.2%	15.3%	*	3.8%
Kansas (n= 360)	37.3%	18.5%	19.7%	6.3%	13.4%	*	4.5%
Kentucky (n = 193)	23.6%	10.8%	14.6%	16.5%	34.8%		
_ouisiana (n = 335)	20.1%	7.7%	14.7%	39.5%	18.1%		
Maine (n= 281)	38.9%	19.8%	9.5%	2.7%	13.3%	2.7%	13.0%
Maryland n = 179)	11.2%	13.5%	16.5%	2.4%	52.9%		3.5%
Massachusetts (n = 482)	42.7%	13.1%	11.0%	12.9%	8.5%	4.4%	7.7%

Figure 90 (con't): Possibility of Increasing Adequacy of Public Library Outlet Public Access Internet **Connection by State** It is Yes there is possible to There is No. there is interest in increase No. the Yes and interest but no interest increasing the speed; connection there are the branch however, in the speed is plans in lacks the increasing branch's there are already at place to technical State the speed bandwidth, no plans in Other increase the knowledge the of public but the place to maximum bandwidth to increase access library increase level within the the Internet cannot the available next year bandwidth in connection currently bandwidth the library afford to within the next year Minnesota 18.9% 11.5% 19.8% 35.0% 10.0% 4.9% (n = 360)Mississippi 25.8% 24.0% 42.3% 8.1% (n = 241)Missouri 26.1% 21.9% 10.6% 12.6% 24.2% 2.6% 1.9% (n = 358)Montana 24.0% 32.3% 26.0% 6.3% 10.4% 2.1% (n = 108)Nevada 25.0% 7.1% 12.0% 4.8% 39.3% 10.8% (n = 85)New Hampshire 16.0% 31.4% 37.9% 1.8% 1.8% 10.9% (n=237)New Jersev 23.8% 19.7% 15.3% 19.9% 15.5% 4.9% (n = 454)New Mexico 21.2% 10.6% 26.0% 1.9% 16.5% 17.5% 5.8% (n = 120)New York 28.3% 16.0% 24.8% 15.4% 11.8% 3.0% (n = 1,069)North Carolina 17.1% 10.6% 38.1% 17.4% 14.2% 2.5% (n = 380)North Dakota 42.1% 36.0% 8.0% 5.3% 5.3% 2.7% (n = 91)Ohio 15.1% 26.7% 14.2% 5.2% 23.2% 15.4% (n = 719)Oklahoma 16.9% 15.3% 16.9% 23.1% 3.1% 24.6% (n = 207)Oregon 49.2% 13.5% 6.3% 8.3% 4.7% 17.1% 1.6% (n = 210)Pennsylvania 29.8% 20.7% 14.7% 10.0% 15.7% 2.2% 6.7% (n = 634)Rhode Island 15.7% 27.1% 5.6% 19.7% 5.6% 21.1% 5.6% (n = 72)South Dakota 37.8% 20.1% 23.9% 7.5% 3.0% 3.0% 4.5% (n = 145)Tennessee 19.2% 12.7% 30.4% 26.2% 7.3% 1.2% 2.7% (n = 289)Texas (n = 859)27.6% 17.0% 28.6% 8.8% 9.5% 2.2% 6.3%

State	No, the connection speed is already at the maximum level available	No, there is no interest in increasing the speed of public access Internet connection	Yes there is interest in increasing the branch's bandwidth, but the library cannot currently afford to	Yes and there are plans in place to increase the bandwidth within the next year	It is possible to increase the speed; however, there are no plans in place to increase the bandwidth within the next year	There is interest but the branch lacks the technical knowledge to increase the bandwidth in the library	Other
Utah (n = 113)	25.5%	35.8%	14.7%	8.2%	10.1%	4.6%	1.8%
Vermont (n= 191)	29.9%	23.4%	22.7%	4.5%	14.9%	1.3%	4.5%
Virginia (n= 341)	13.2%	4.2%	36.4%	30.8%	13.5%		1.8%
Washington (n= 330)	19.2%	23.3%	9.4%	21.7%	23.6%	*	2.5%
Washington, DC (n = 27)	-	9.1%		54.5%	36.4%		
West Virginia (n = 174)	52.4%	16.0%	10.0%	1.2%		2.4%	18.2%
Wisconsin n = 458)	27.9%	17.9%	31.5%	3.2%	13.2%	1.2%	5.0%
Nyoming n = 74)	53.5%	12.7%	7.0%	16.7%	11.1%		
National	26.0% (n=3,959)	16.8% (n=2,550)	22.9% (n=3,487)	13.0% (n=1,972)	14.7% (n=2,237)	1.0% (n=145)	5.7% (n=860)

Missing weighted values, n=750 **Key** *=Insufficient data to report

Figure 90 details the possibility of increasing the adequacy of public library Internet connections. The highest percentage of libraries, although it is only 26.0 percent, responded that the connection speed is already at the maximum level available, which is up substantially from the 17.1 percent who reported this in 2007-2008. It is likely that the increase is somewhat connected to the increasing number of libraries with faster bandwidth/connections (see Figure 85). An additional 22.9 percent of outlets reported that there is interest in increasing the speed, but the library cannot afford to do so, with Mississippi showing the greatest percentage at 42.3 percent. A lack of technical knowledge does not appear to be problematic anywhere, with only 1.0 percent of outlets reporting this was an issue, and 16.8 percent of outlets indicated there was no interest in increasing the speed. Both Hawaii (43.5 percent) and Washington, DC (54.5 percent) had the highest percentage of libraries reporting plans to increase bandwidth within the next year.

⁻⁻⁼No data to report

State	This library does not have time limits for public Internet workstations	This library does have time limits for public Internet workstations	Do not know if this library has time limits
Alabama (n = 278)	1.5%	98.5%	
Alaska (n = 117)	24.1%	75.9%	
Arizona (n = 210)	1.5%	98.5%	-
California (n = 1,099)	2.0%	98.0%	-
Colorado (n= 242)	5.8%	94.2%	-
Connecticut (n = 245)	20.5%	79.8%	-
Delaware (n = 31)	-	100%	-
Florida (n = 497)	2.0%	96.5%	1.5%
Georgia (n = 341)	3.9%	96.1%	
Hawaii (n= 50)		100%	
Illinois (n = 794)	5.3%	94.7%	
Indiana (n = 438)	3.5%	96.5%	
lowa (n = 563)	3.3%	96.7%	
Kansas (n= 360)	10.4%	89.6%	
Kentucky (n = 193)	1.1%	98.9%	
Louisiana (n = 335)	2.3%	97.7%	
Maine (n= 281)	13.8%	86.2%	
Maryland (n = 179)	3.5%	96.5%	
Massachusetts (n = 482)	11.5%	88.5%	
Minnesota (n = 360)	4.2%	93.8%	2.0%
Mississippi (n = 241)	7.5%	92.5%	
Missouri (n = 358)		100%	
Montana (n =108)	5.2%	94.8%	
Nevada (n = 85)	16.9%	81.0%	1.2%

Figure 91 (con't): Public Library Outlet Time I		rkstations by State
State	This library does not have time limits for public Internet workstations	This library does have time limits for public Internet workstations	Do not know if this library has time limits
New Hampshire (n= 237)	14.0%	86.0%	
New Jersey (n = 454)	5.3%	94.7%	
New Mexico (n = 120)	8.5%	91.5%	
New York (n = 1,069)	4.5%	95.5%	
North Carolina (n = 380)	7.0%	92.5%	*
North Dakota (n= 91)	20.3%	79.7%	
Ohio (n = 719)	2.8%	97.2%	
Oklahoma (n = 207)	6.0%	94.0%	
Oregon (n = 210)	4.4%	95.6%	
Pennsylvania (n = 634)	8.1%	91.7%	*
Rhode Island (n = 72)	14.3%	85.7%	-
South Dakota (n= 145)	12.9%	87.1%	
Tennessee (n = 289)	5.0%	95.0%	
Texas (n = 859)	6.3%	93.7%	
Utah (n = 113)	6.4%	93.6%	
Vermont (n= 191)	16.1%	83.9%	
Virginia (n= 341)	3.0%	97.0%	
Washington (n= 330)		100%	
Washington, DC (n = 27)		100%	-
West Virginia (n = 174)	14.1%	85.9%	-
Wisconsin (n = 458)	3.3%	95.8%	*
Wyoming (n = 74)	6.8%	90.5%	1.4%
National	5.8% (n=921)	94.1% (n=14,947)	*

Weighted missing values, n=69 **Key** *=Insufficient data to report

⁻⁻⁼No data to report

State	Up to 30 minutes	31-60 minutes	Greater than 60 minutes	Unlimited as long as no wait	Other time limit
Alabama (n = 278)	17.4%	52.5%	5.4%	19.3%	5.4%
Alaska (n = 117)	35.2%	30.0%		21.1%	13.2%
Arizona (n = 210)	15.0%	62.7%	3.1%	10.3%	9.3%
California (n = 1,099)	18.0%	63.3%	3.9%	2.5%	12.4%
Colorado (n= 242)	32.5%	45.6%		6.6%	15.4%
Connecticut (n = 245)	18.5%	47.2%	10.2%	15.8%	7.9%
Delaware (n = 31)	3.4%	76.7%	3.4%		13.8%
Florida (n = 497)	35.6%	49.0%	2.7%	7.9%	5.0%
Georgia (n = 341)	14.6%	54.1%	4.4%	14.6%	12.2%
Hawaii (n= 50)		87.8%		6.1%	6.3%
Illinois (n = 794)	11.5%	48.9%	14.0%	15.6%	9.8%
Indiana (n = 438)	10.1%	52.7%	8.1%	23.7%	5.5%
lowa (n = 563)	32.5%	29.5%	5.3%	23.8%	8.7%
Kansas (n= 360)	24.6%	40.6%	3.5%	21.6%	9.4%
Kentucky (n = 193)	2.4%	59.5%	3.6%	25.6%	8.9%
Louisiana (n = 335)	21.0%	42.0%	7.0%	27.7%	2.7%
Maine (n= 281)	38.4%	27.8%		24.1%	9.7%
Maryland (n = 179)	33.7%	47.3%	2.4%	14.5%	1.8%
Massachusetts (n = 482)	20.3%	42.5%	6.3%	18.8%	12.0%
Minnesota (n = 360)	22.1%	51.0%	6.9%	4.2%	15.8%
Mississippi (n = 241)	41.6%	23.9%		22.0%	12.4%
Missouri (n = 358)	8.8%	57.3%	17.2%	13.4%	3.1%
Montana (n =108)	23.1%	36.7%	6.6%	14.3%	20.0%
Nevada (n = 85)	13.2%	54.4%	23.5%	5.9%	4.3%
New Hampshire (n= 237)	19.9%	26.0%	3.1%	29.1%	21.4%

Figure 92 (con't): Public Library Outlets With Time Limits for Internet Workstations per Day by State						
State	Up to 30 minutes	31-60 minutes	Greater than 60 minutes	Unlimited as long as no wait	Other time limit	
New Jersey (n = 454)	27.0%	33.3%	7.5%	13.5%	18.6%	
New Mexico (n = 120)	21.6%	35.1%	2.1%	16.5%	24.7%	
New York (n = 1,069)	37.1%	37.7%	6.5%	13.0%	5.7%	
North Carolina (n = 380)	14.8%	54.2%	6.7%	16.6%	7.6%	
North Dakota (n= 91)	17.2%	50.8%	3.4%	17.2%	10.3%	
Ohio (n = 719)	17.9%	38.3%	5.2%	34.2%	4.3%	
Oklahoma (n = 207)	14.6%	68.2%	5.2%	6.8%	4.7%	
Oregon (n = 210)	15.2%	53.8%	6.1%	8.6%	16.3%	
Pennsylvania (n = 634)	17.1%	42.3%	10.1%	21.0%	9.4%	
Rhode Island (n = 72)	17.2%	41.3%		35.9%	4.8%	
South Dakota (n= 145)	28.9%	49.2%	1.7%	13.2%	7.4%	
Tennessee (n = 289)	17.2%	54.8%	6.2%	15.7%	6.1%	
Texas (n = 859)	15.3%	42.9%	11.4%	20.0%	10.5%	
Utah (n = 113)	43.7%	37.9%		18.6%		
Vermont (n= 191)	38.6%	20.4%		24.8%	15.7%	
Virginia (n= 341)	25.5%	50.9%	4.0%	9.2%	10.4%	
Washington (n= 330)	23.9%	31.3%	9.8%	16.9%	18.1%	
Washington, DC (n = 27)		100%				
West Virginia (n = 174)	16.4%	32.2%	8.2%	30.8%	11.6%	
Wisconsin (n = 458)	26.9%	39.9%	7.2%	12.3%	13.9%	
Wyoming (n = 74)	17.6%	20.9%	1.5%	58.2%	1.5%	
National	22.4% (n=3,343)	45.2% (m=6,745)	6.0% (n=903)	17.0% (n=2,532)	9.4% (n=1,408)	

Weighted missing values, n=17 **Key** *=Insufficient data to report

--=No data to report

Figure 91 shows whether libraries have time limits for Internet use. Connecticut (20.5 percent) and North Dakota (20.3 percent) had the largest percentage of libraries that did not have time limits. A substantial majority of libraries in every state do have time limits for Internet workstations. Of those libraries, time limits are predominantly between 31-60 minutes (Figure 92). Hawaii and Washington, D.C. have the highest percentages (87.8 and 100 percent) in that category. Less than a majority of libraries in all states have time limits that are either up to 30 minutes, greater than 60 minutes, unlimited, as long as there is no wait, or another time limit.

Figure 93 : Public Library Outlets With Time Limits for Internet Workstations Sessions per Day by State

State	One session per day	Two sessions per day	Unlimited but must sign up for each session	Unlimited as long as no one is waiting	Other session
Alabama (n = 278)	14.7%	21.7%	17.8%	25.1%	20.5%
Alaska (n = 117)	38.5%	2.2%	13.3%	35.2%	11.0%
Arizona (n = 210)	44.3%	11.3%	13.5%	27.3%	3.6%
California (n = 1,099)	45.8%	26.4%	6.4%	8.7%	12.9%
Colorado (n= 242)	23.1%	13.1%	11.0%	26.2%	26.8%
Connecticut (n = 245)	25.3%	11.8%	16.9%	35.4%	11.2%
Delaware (n = 31)	10.0%	48.3%	10.0%	3.4%	27.6%
Florida (n = 497)	11.1%	29.7%	17.9%	22.7%	18.4%
Georgia (n = 341)	4.8%	37.4%	7.5%	28.6%	21.4%
Hawaii (n= 50)	10.4%	2.1%	2.1%	18.4%	65.3%
Illinois (n = 794)	23.2%	18.7%	12.1%	29.3%	16.6%
Indiana (n = 438)	7.8%	13.3%	14.5%	45.2%	19.2%
lowa (n = 563)	22.0%	11.5%	8.5%	49.3%	8.7%
Kansas (n= 360)	15.2%	9.7%	10.0%	48.2%	17.1%
Kentucky (n = 193)	11.3%	21.4%	16.1%	48.8%	2.4%
Louisiana (n = 335)	25.3%	11.3%	2.7%	60.8%	
Maine (n= 281)	18.1%	4.6%	10.5%	56.7%	9.7%
Maryland (n = 179)	10.8%	9.7%	6.0%	19.9%	53.6%
Massachusetts (n = 482)	21.0%	18.5%	12.3%	36.3%	12.0%
Minnesota (n = 360)	35.5%	6.0%	8.4%	23.3%	26.9%
Mississippi (n = 241)	1.0%	7.7%	2.4%	60.3%	29.2%
Missouri (n = 358)	27.1%	11.6%	10.9%	19.1%	31.3%
Montana (n =108)	34.4%	5.5%	11.0%	31.1%	17.8%
Nevada (n = 85)	39.7%	8.8%	9.8%	10.3%	27.9%

Figure 93 (con't): Public Library Outlets With Time Limits for Internet Workstations Sessions per Day by State					
State	One session per day	Two sessions per day	Unlimited but must sign up for each session	Unlimited as long as no one is waiting	Other session
New Hampshire (n= 237)	18.9%	9.1%	9.7%	55.1%	7.7%
New Jersey (n = 454)	8.7%	22.4%	7.7%	43.6%	17.8%
New Mexico (n = 120)	22.7%	12.5%	24.0%	38.1%	2.1%
New York (n = 1,069)	16.8%	29.9%	9.7%	33.7%	10.0%
North Carolina (n = 380)	19.1%	22.3%	14.0%	24.9%	19.8%
North Dakota (n= 91)	22.2%	9.5%	12.7%	48.4%	6.3%
Ohio (n = 719)	9.1%	15.2%	12.6%	42.5%	20.6%
Oklahoma (n = 207)	41.1%	6.8%	10.9%	33.3%	7.8%
Oregon (n = 210)	43.1%	12.2%	3.0%	19.3%	22.8%
Pennsylvania (n = 634)	21.2%	16.0%	13.7%	37.5%	11.7%
Rhode Island (n = 72)	15.6%	20.3%	4.8%	58.7%	
South Dakota (n= 145)	22.3%	14.0%	6.6%	47.5%	9.9%
Tennessee (n = 289)	13.5%	12.3%	29.9%	36.9%	7.3%
Texas (n = 859)	12.4%	16.8%	15.1%	40.0%	15.7%
Utah (n = 113)	9.7%	12.7%	10.8%	36.9%	29.4%
Vermont (n= 191)	11.8%	18.3%	15.7%	47.1%	7.2%
Virginia (n= 341)	18.5%	9.8%	14.5%	44.0%	12.9%
Washington (n= 330)	28.8%	28.8%	2.5%	15.6%	24.2%
Washington, DC (n = 27)		100%			
West Virginia (n = 174)	20.5%	17.1%	14.4%	31.7%	16.4%
Wisconsin (n = 458)	21.6%	20.2%	12.3%	29.7%	16.5%
Wyoming	23.5%	9.0%	13.4%	53.7%	
(n = 74)	20.6%	17.5%	11.2%	34.4%	16.2%

National

Weighted missing values, n=18

Key *=Insufficient data to report

--=No data to report

(n=1,676)

(n=2,618)

(n=5,143)

(n=2,415)

According to Figure 93, most states have a greater percentage of libraries reporting that their time limit sessions are unlimited as long as no one is waiting, which is similar to the national data. Libraries in Louisiana (60.8 percent) and Mississippi (60.3 percent) had the highest percentages within this category. Tennessee (29.9 percent) and New Mexico (24.0 percent) libraries also have the highest percentages for unlimited sessions; however, patrons must sign up for each session.

rigule 34. Publ	Remotely	Library Outlet Management of Public Internet Workstation Time Limits by State						
State	accessed or in- library computer reservation and time management software	Library access only computer reservation and time management software	Manual list of users managed by staff	"Honor system" – rely on patrons to end sessions voluntarily	Other time management			
Alabama (n = 278)	2.7%	39.9%	45.0%	8.5%	3.5%			
Alaska (n = 117)	-	30.7%	42.0%	20.5%	6.7%			
Arizona (n = 210)	11.9%	51.0%	37.3%		-			
California (n = 1,099)	27.6%	49.7%	16.4%	2.5%	3.8%			
Colorado (n= 242)	9.6%	49.1%	29.8%	8.7%	2.6%			
Connecticut (n = 245)	4.6%	39.4%	32.6%	19.4%	4.0%			
Delaware (n = 31)	17.2%	82.8%						
Florida (n = 497)	7.5%	56.9%	32.2%	*	2.7%			
Georgia (n = 341)	7.8%	49.1%	32.8%	*	9.2%			
Hawaii (n= 50)	89.6%	10.4%			_			
Illinois (n = 794)	7.5%	35.5%	47.0%	8.8%	1.3%			
Indiana (n = 438)	*	42.7%	46.9%	6.5%	3.1%			
lowa (n = 563)	2.6%	12.9%	71.8%	10.7%	2.2%			
Kansas (n= 360)	4.2%	13.5%	64.4%	14.2%	3.9%			
Kentucky (n = 193)	1.2%	35.1%	38.1%	16.7%	8.3%			
Louisiana (n = 335)		49.5%	46.1%	2.0%	2.7%			
Maine (n= 281)		10.1%	70.3%	16.4%	2.9%			
Maryland (n = 179)	1.8%	87.9%	7.9%	2.4%				
Massachusetts (n = 482)	2.3%	36.8%	40.8%	10.8%	9.5%			
Minnesota (n = 360)	5.1%	35.8%	48.1%	6.3%	4.8%			
Mississippi (n = 241)	15.3%	16.3%	68.9%					
Missouri (n = 358)	1.9%	45.1%	41.3%	6.0%	6.0%			
Montana (n =108)		17.6%	60.9%	12.1%	8.8%			

Figure 94 (con't): Public Library Outlet Management of Public Internet Workstation Time Limits by State

State	Remotely accessed or in- library computer reservation and time management software	Library access only computer reservation and time management software	Manual list of users managed by staff	"Honor system" – rely on patrons to end sessions voluntarily	Other time management
Nevada (n = 85)	21.7%	23.5%	47.1%	8.8%	
New Hampshire (n= 237)		24.5%	59.2%	11.2%	5.1%
New Jersey (n = 454)	6.5%	43.2%	41.4%	5.5%	3.1%
New Mexico (n = 120)		37.9%	54.7%	5.3%	2.1%
New York (n = 1,069)	4.6%	39.6%	47.5%	5.4%	3.0%
North Carolina (n = 380)	3.8%	48.8%	45.5%	*	1.7%
North Dakota (n= 91)		6.3%	57.1%	33.3%	3.2%
Ohio (n = 719)	3.0%	59.8%	33.9%	2.2%	1.0%
Oklahoma (n = 207)		49.2%	42.3%	7.4%	1.1%
Oregon (n = 210)	3.6%	54.3%	33.0%	3.6%	5.1%
Pennsylvania (n = 634)	9.6%	36.5%	44.1%	6.6%	3.3%
Rhode Island (n = 72)		6.6%	65.0%	11.7%	16.7%
South Dakota (n= 145)	3.3%	5.0%	71.9%	16.5%	3.3%
Tennessee (n = 289)	1.9%	48.5%	35.6%	8.5%	5.4%
Texas (n = 859)	5.4%	34.5%	44.8%	8.9%	6.3%
Utah (n = 113)	3.9%	39.2%	42.2%	12.7%	1.9%
Vermont (n= 191)		5.8%	63.7%	19.9%	10.3%
Virginia (n= 341)	2.1%	54.9%	36.5%	2.5%	4.0%
Washington (n= 330)	23.6%	25.2%	27.0%	4.3%	19.9%
Washington, DC (n = 27)		83.3%		16.7%	
West Virginia (n = 174)		11.7%	77.2%	6.9%	3.4%
Wisconsin (n = 458)	17.4%	20.0%	54.1%	5.6%	3.0%

Figure 94 (con't) State	: Public Library C	Outlet Managemer	nt of Public Inter	net Workstation T	ime Limits by
State	Remotely accessed or in- library computer reservation and time management software	Library access only computer reservation and time management software	Manual list of users managed by staff	"Honor system" - rely on patrons to end sessions voluntarily	Other time management
Wyoming	1.5%	29.9%	50.7%	17.6%	

43.5%

(n=6.493)

38.7%

(n-5,775)

Weighted missing cases, n=21 **Key** *=Insufficient data to report

6.6%

(n=984)

National

(n = 74)

While Hawaii has the highest percentage (89.6) of libraries that have remotely accessed or inlibrary computer reservation and time management software, most of the other states have low percentages of libraries that use such time management strategies (Figure 94). The highest percentage of libraries with an honor system was 33.3 percent, and it was from libraries located in North Dakota. Delaware (82.8 percent) and Maryland (87.9 percent) have the highest percentages with library access only computer reservation and time management software. West Virginia (77.2 percent), South Dakota (71.9 percent), Maine (70.3 percent), and Iowa (71.8 percent) have libraries that most often manage time with a manual list of users.

7.2%

(n=1,069)

4.1% (n=606)

⁻⁻⁼No data to report

Figure 95: Public Library Outlets Formal or Informal Technology Training Availability by State							
State	Offers formal IT training classes	Offers informal point- of-use assistance	Offers online training material	Does not offer any technology training			
Alabama (n = 278)	33.5%	35.7%	5.8%	25.2%			
Alaska (n = 117)	5.3%	75.2%	6.2%	13.2%			
Arizona (n = 210)	42.1%	54.6%	1.5%	1.5%			
California (n = 1,099)	34.3%	48.9%	9.0%	7.9%			
Colorado (n= 242)	38.2%	49.2%	2.5%	10.0%			
Connecticut (n = 245)	28.2%	59.8%		12.3%			
Delaware (n = 31)	34.5%	60.0%		3.4%			
Florida (n = 497)	47.5%	41.1%	2.0%	9.3%			
Georgia (n = 341)	31.5%	50.3%	2.7%	15.3%			
Hawaii (n= 50)	21.7%	71.7%		6.5%			
Illinois (n = 794)	45.2%	39.7%	1.6%	13.6%			
Indiana (n = 438)	49.9%	38.1%	2.8%	9.4%			
lowa (n = 563)	25.5%	58.8%	2.2%	13.7%			
Kansas (n= 360)	22.8%	50.3%	7.6%	19.3%			
Kentucky (n = 193)	46.3%	39.4%	1.3%	13.1%			
Louisiana (n = 335)	54.3%	45.7%					
Maine (n= 281)	13.3%	72.3%	2.5%	12.2%			
Maryland (n = 179)	47.3%	50.9%		1.8%			
Massachusetts (n = 482)	26.2%	59.1%	*	13.9%			
Minnesota (n = 360)	42.0%	43.5%	4.0%	10.5%			
Mississippi (n = 241)	22.0%	56.3%	7.2%	14.8%			
Missouri (n = 358)	36.4%	43.0%	3.2%	17.1%			
Montana (n = 108)	22.4%	60.2%		17.3%			
Nevada (n = 85)	29.8%	65.5%		4.8%			
New Hampshire (n= 237)	18.4%	65.4%		16.2%			
New Jersey (n = 454)	37.5%	51.9%	3.5%	7.2%			

Figure 95 (con't): Public Library Outlets Formal or Informal Technology Training Availability by State						
State	Offers formal IT training classes	Offers informal point- of-use assistance	Offers online training material	Does not offer any technology training		
New Mexico (n = 120)	36.2%	57.5%		5.7%		
New York (n = 1,069)	46.3%	48.1%	1.2%	4.4%		
North Carolina (n = 380)	29.6%	58.1%	2.4%	9.9%		
North Dakota (n= 91)	15.6%	63.6%		20.8%		
Ohio (n = 719)	53.5%	38.1%	2.6%	5.7%		
Oklahoma (n = 207)	44.3%	43.8%		11.5%		
Oregon (n = 210)	19.3%	63.1%	8.4%	8.9%		
Pennsylvania (n = 634)	36.8%	54.8%	1.9%	6.5%		
Rhode Island (n = 72)	62.0%	15.7%	5.6%	16.9%		
South Dakota (n= 145)	17.2%	59.3%	3.7%	20.0%		
Tennessee (n = 289)	25.8%	61.4%	3.4%	9.4%		
Texas (n = 859)	37.9%	49.2%	2.0%	11.0%		
Utah (n = 113)	15.9%	70.1%	9.3%	5.6%		
Vermont (n= 191)	22.4%	71.5%		6.0%		
Virginia (n= 341)	39.9%	48.2%	3.6%	8.2%		
Washington (n= 330)	31.6%	63.1%	1.6%	3.4%		
Washington, DC (n = 27)	100%	-				
West Virginia (n = 174)	17.4%	65.7%	1.2%	15.7%		
Wisconsin (n = 458)	30.3%	64.4%		5.3%		
Wyoming (n = 74)	11.1%	70.8%		17.8%		
National	35.0% (n=5,454)	52.6% (n=8,212)	2.7% (n=428)	9.7% (n=1,507)		

Weighted missing values, n=357 **Key** *=Insufficient data to report

--=No data to report

Figure 96: Formal Technology Training Classes Offered by Public Library Branches by State														
State	General computer skills (e.g. how to use mouse, keyboard, printing)	General software use (e.g. word processing, spreadsheets, presentation)	General Internet use (e.g. set up e-mail, Web browsing)	General online/Web searching (e.g. using Google, Yahoo, others)	Using library's Online Public Access Catalog (OPAC)	Using online databases (e.g. commercial databases to search and find content)	Safe online practices (e.g. not divulging personal information)	Accessing online government information (e.g. Medicare, taxes, how to complete forms)	Accessing online jobseeking and career-related information	Accessing online medical information (e.g. health literacy)	Accessing online investment information	Digital photography, software and online applications (e.g. Photoshop. Flickr)	Web 2.0 (e.g. blogging, RSS)	Other technology-based training classes
Alabama (n = 267)	84.9%	78.2%	95.3%	84.9%	54.0%	65.1%	39.5%	27.6%	27.6%	20.9%		14.0%	15.1%	4.7%
Alaska (n = 116)	100%	66.7%	100%	100%	66.7%	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%	33.3%	33.3%	50.0%	50.0%	33.3%
Arizona (n = 197)	100%	88.0%	100%	90.2%	59.0%	45.1%	26.5%	15.7%	34.9%	15.7%	12.0%	30.5%	3.6%	1
California (n = 1,058)	88.4%	52.7%	93.0%	79.5%	64.0%	59.3%	34.8%	18.0%	33.2%	29.7%	5.2%	11.0%	10.7%	5.2%
Colorado (n= 242)	100%	85.4%	95.5%	86.5%	57.3%	53.3%	20.0%	14.4%	17.8%	10.1%	6.7%	12.2%	6.7%	12.4%
Connecticut (n = 219)	83.1%	86.4%	95.0%	78.0%	45.8%	49.2%	25.4%	20.0%	32.2%	20.0%	5.0%	30.0%	25.0%	6.8%
Delaware (n = 29)	100%	60.0%	100%	90.0%	90.0%	60.0%	30.0%	10.0%	30.0%	50.0%	-			10.0%
Florida (n = 459)	97.6%	88.5%	96.2%	83.3%	65.4%	52.6%	19.6%	27.8%	26.3%	26.8%	19.2%	43.1%	31.6%	2.4%
Georgia (n = 330)	88.2%	76.3%	83.9%	62.4%	34.4%	22.6%	8.6%	8.6%	17.2%	8.6%	5.4%	16.1%	2.2%	8.6%
Hawaii (n= 49)	60.0%	10.0%	90.0%	70.0%	100%	90.0%	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	30.0%	10.0%	10.0%		
Illinois (n = 722)	86.8%	68.7%	92.9%	82.3%	49.7%	50.6%	30.0%	13.5%	31.6%	7.7%	9.0%	19.0%	11.9%	4.5%
Indiana (n = 399)	95.8%	87.8%	98.9%	84.7%	51.9%	46.6%	37.0%	38.6%	53.4%	18.5%	8.5%	29.1%	17.9%	3.2%
lowa (n = 530)	86.8%	58.9%	84.5%	68.0%	32.6%	36.7%	34.9%	26.4%	20.2%	11.6%	8.6%	21.9%	8.5%	6.3%

Figure 96 (con't):	Figure 96 (con't): Formal Technology Training Classes Offered by Public Library Branches by State													
State	General computer skills (e.g. how to use mouse, keyboard, printing)	General software use (e.g. word processing, spreadsheets, presentation)	General Internet use (e.g. set up e-mail, Web browsing)	General online/Web searching (e.g. using Google, Yahoo, others)	Using library's Online Public Access Catalog (OPAC)	Using online databases (e.g. commercial databases to search and find content)	Safe online practices (e.g. not divulging personal information)	Accessing online government information (e.g. Medicare, taxes, how to complete forms)	Accessing online jobsecking and career-related information	Accessing online medical information (e.g. health literacy)	Accessing online investment information	Digital photography, software and online applications (e.g. Photoshon. Flickr)	Web 2.0 (e.g. blogging, RSS)	Other technology-based training classes
Kansas (n= 348)	96.1%	76.6%	92.2%	76.6%	40.3%	49.4%	32.5%	23.4%	26.0%	14.3%	13.0%	16.9%	7.8%	7.8%
Kentucky (n = 176)	69.4%	72.2%	94.4%	66.7%	33.3%	19.2%	20.8%	18.1%	12.5%	2.8%		36.1%	11.1%	11.1%
Louisiana (n = 304)	100%	89.1%	93.6%	89.1%	23.7%	28.8%	28.8%	23.7%	19.9%	23.7%	18.7%	23.7%	18.7%	
Maine (n= 279)	75.0%	67.6%	81.1%	62.2%	55.6%	67.6%	37.8%	50.0%	50.0%	37.8%	11.1%	25.0%	5.4%	13.5%
Maryland (n = 171)	100%	95.0%	92.6%	81.5%	23.5%	28.4%	12.3%	1.3%	15.0%	8.8%	42.5%	8.8%	12.5%	44.4%
Massachusetts (n = 455)	70.9%	50.9%	90.1%	78.2%	73.9%	53.2%	16.2%	10.8%	13.6%	14.5%	7.3%	19.8%	9.9%	10.8%
Minnesota (n = 360)	66.7%	12.5%	88.9%	84.0%	57.2%	54.2%	25.0%	33.1%	7.6%	4.9%	16.0%	16.0%	36.1%	5.5%
Mississippi (n = 229)	91.7%	91.7%	95.9%	75.0%	16.3%	50.0%	10.2%	25.0%	8.3%	44.9%	4.2%			
Missouri (n = 319)	79.1%	69.8%	100%	96.5%	68.7%	72.2%	32.2%	31.3%	19.1%	27.8%	17.4%	4.3%		3.5%
Montana (n =98)	91.3%	59.1%	91.3%	91.3%	86.4%	69.6%	34.8%	13.6%	8.7%	40.9%		30.4%	22.7%	
Nevada (n = 84)	84.0%	68.0%	84.0%	84.0%	84.0%	100%	11.5%	26.9%	52.0%	11.5%	11.5%	26.9%	20.0%	16.0%
New Hampshire (n= 233)	100%	58.1%	90.5%	79.1%	31.0%	23.8%	45.2%	4.7%	14.3%					4.7%
New Jersey (n = 438)	100%	76.3%	98.1%	79.4%	57.1%	52.5%	20.6%	21.3%	36.3%	19.4%	8.1%	31.3%	14.4%	4.3%
New Mexico (n = 108)	100%	82.1%	100%	82.1%	38.5%	42.1%	21.1%	28.9%	36.8%	39.5%	5.3%	21.1%	5.1%	

Figure 96 (con't):	Figure 96 (con't): Formal Technology Training Classes Offered by Public Library Branches by State													
State	General computer skills (e.g. how to use mouse, keyboard, printing)	General software use (e.g. word processing, spreadsheets, presentation)	General Internet use (e.g. set up e-mail, Web browsing)	General online/Web searching (e.g. using Google, Yahoo, others)	Using library's Online Public Access Catalog (OPAC)	Using online databases (e.g. commercial databases to search and find content)	Safe online practices (e.g. not divulging personal information)	Accessing online government information (e.g. Medicare, taxes, how to complete forms)	Accessing online jobseeking and careerelated information	Accessing online medical information (e.g. health literacy)	Accessing online investment information	Uigital photography, software and online applications (e.g. Photoshon. Flickr)	Web 2.0 (e.g. blogging, RSS)	Other technology-based training classes
New York (n = 1,056)	93.3%	54.7%	91.4%	59.9%	40.0%	32.4%	18.6%	39.5%	16.7%	18.6%	6.3%	22.4%	4.4%	3.3%
North Carolina (n = 380)	94.5%	90.9%	94.5%	83.6%	57.3%	70.9%	30.9%	26.4%	51.8%	31.8%	15.3%	24.5%	21.8%	3.6%
North Dakota (n= 79)	66.7%	50.0%	66.7%	66.7%	66.7%	66.7%	50.0%	33.3%	16.7%	50.0%		50.0%	16.7%	
Ohio (n = 688)	93.4%	75.8%	98.4%	83.2%	59.1%	59.3%	24.5%	17.3%	37.9%	8.5%	2.2%	26.1%	9.6%	4.4%
Oklahoma (n = 201)	87.6%	71.9%	82.0%	60.7%	50.6%	41.6%	37.1%	39.3%	39.3%	34.8%	32.6%	39.3%	34.8%	6.7%
Oregon (n = 210)	79.5%	56.4%	92.3%	74.4%	53.8%	37.5%	20.5%	15.0%	17.9%	7.7%	7.7%	15.4%	5.1%	12.5%
Pennsylvania (n = 626)	90.0%	65.4%	92.5%	83.4%	37.3%	45.0%	24.6%	18.0%	22.8%	17.5%	13.6%	16.7%	14.9%	3.5%
Rhode Island (n = 71)	90.9%	59.1%	90.9%	90.9%	31.8%	47.7%	15.9%	45.5%	34.1%	9.1%	9.1%	27.3%	15.9%	
South Dakota (n= 139)	100%	65.2%	91.3%	73.9%	39.1%	39.1%	17.4%	17.4%	8.7%	17.4%	8.7%	26.1%	8.7%	
Tennessee (n = 277)	97.1%	49.3%	94.2%	75.4%	39.1%	37.7%	21.7%	17.4%	17.4%	13.0%		8.7%	2.9%	2.9%
Texas (n = 800)	92.1%	80.7%	88.6%	75.1%	42.2%	46.7%	27.7%	23.5%	26.0%	23.9%	9.7%	23.1%	11.1%	5.9%
Utah (n = 109)	100%	47.1%	81.3%	81.3%	17.6%	64.7%	35.3%	5.9%	5.9%	18.8%	5.9%	18.8%		-
Vermont (n= 186)	78.4%	78.4%	91.9%	86.5%	48.6%	43.2%	35.1%	35.1%	27.0%	27.0%	8.1%	21.6%	8.1%	5.3%
Virginia (n= 339)	93.0%	66.4%	85.9%	79.7%	45.0%	46.9%	18.0%	20.3%	27.3%	11.7%	7.8%	26.4%	18.8%	4.7%

Figure 96 (con't):	Figure 96 (con't): Formal Technology Training Classes Offered by Public Library Branches by State													
State	General computer skills (e.g. how to use mouse, keyboard, printing)	General software use (e.g. word processing, spreadsheets, presentation)	General Internet use (e.g. set up e-mail, Web browsing)	General online/Web searching (e.g. using Google, Yahoo, others)	Using library's Online Public Access Catalog (OPAC)	Using online databases (e.g. commercial databases to search and find content)	Safe online practices (e.g. not divulging personal information)	Accessing online government information (e.g. Medicare, taxes, how to complete forms)	Accessing online jobseeking and career-related information	Accessing online medical information (e.g. health literacy)	Accessing online investment information	Digital photography, software and online applications (e.g. Photoshop. Flickr)	Web 2.0 (e.g. blogging, RSS)	Other technology-based training classes
Washington (n= 326)	79.2%	41.6%	87.1%	69.3%	21.8%	33.7%	26.7%	6.9%				9.0%	5.9%	6.9%
Washington, DC (n = 24)	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%		100%	100%	100%	100%			
West Virginia (n = 172)	100%	73.3%	93.3%	80.0%	80.0%	66.7%	23.3%	23.3%	26.7%	23.3%	16.7%	3.3%		
Wisconsin (n = 453)	98.5%	60.8%	87.8%	66.4%	38.2%	31.3%	16.8%	19.8%	25.4%	12.2%	1.5%	28.2%	9.2%	1.5%
Wyoming (n = 74)	100%	37.5%	55.6%	55.6%	55.6%	55.6%	12.5%	12.5%		12.5%	25.0%		12.5%	
National	91.3% (n=4,923)	70.5% (n=3,801)	92.8% (n=5,006)	76.9% (n=4,147)	48.4% (n=2,610)	47.6% (n=2,566)	24.8% (n=1,337)	24.7% (n=1,332)	26.9% (n=1,451)	17.8% (n=961)	9.8% (n=527)	21.0% (n=1,134)	11.2% (n=606)	5.7% (n=309)

Will not total 100%, as categories are not mutually exclusive

Weighted missing values, n=63

Key --=No data to report

Figure 95 presents the formal and informal technology training availability for each state. The greatest percentages of libraries that offer formal training are in Washington, D.C. (100 percent) and Rhode Island (62.2 percent). Overall, a greater percentage of libraries in each state provide informal point-of-use assistance. States with the highest percentages are Alaska, Hawaii, Maine, Utah, and Vermont, ranging from 70.1 to 75.2. Less than ten percent of libraries in each state offer online training material. Alabama, and North and South Dakota have the greatest percentages of libraries that do not offer training (25.2, 20.8, and 20.0, respectively). Of those that offer formal technology training classes, Figure 96 presents the classes that are offered by public library branches. Over a majority of libraries in every state provide training for general computer skills, Internet use, and online Web searching. Conversely, almost or less than a majority in every state have classes on safe online practices, digital photography, software, and online practices, accessing online government information, online job seeking, and Web 2.0. Over 90 percent of the libraries in Maryland, Mississippi, North Carolina, and Washington, D.C. offering formal training in general software use, which is higher than the other states.

State	Less than one	One day	Two days	More than two days	Don't know	Other amount of time
Alabama	day					
(n = 278)	9.7%	23.3%	21.0%	36.8%	4.3%	5.0%
Alaska (n = 117)	16.4%	8.6%	8.6%	39.7%	14.7%	12.1%
Arizona (n = 210)	15.2%	17.3%	28.4%	23.4%	8.1%	7.6%
California (n = 1,099)	14.7%	33.9%	31.6%	13.5%	5.1%	1.1%
Colorado (n= 242)	20.7%	29.3%	27.3%	18.2%		4.5%
Connecticut (n = 245)	17.4%	26.5%	16.9%	28.2%	5.9%	5.0%
Delaware (n = 31)	13.8%	13.8%	44.8%	10.0%		17.2%
Florida (n = 497)	23.2%	20.5%	30.0%	18.1%	1.1%	7.3%
Georgia (n = 341)	7.9%	28.8%	32.8%	22.5%	*	7.3%
Hawaii (n= 50)	18.4%	28.6%	26.5%	16.3%		10.4%
Illinois (n = 794)	27.7%	26.1%	16.3%	22.3%	3.1%	4.5%
Indiana (n = 438)	20.2%	28.6%	22.8%	17.4%	3.1%	7.9%
lowa (n = 563)	20.2%	21.6%	11.8%	28.6%	11.2%	6.8%
Kansas (n= 360)	12.3%	24.9%	17.6%	24.9%	10.9%	9.4%
Kentucky (n = 193)	22.2%	17.9%	21.6%	27.8%	3.7%	6.8%
Louisiana (n = 335)	19.4%	21.7%	30.0%	20.1%	8.0%	*
Maine (n= 281)	12.9%	19.8%	17.6%	30.1%	5.7%	14.0%
Maryland (n = 179)	18.2%	40.2%	27.6%	11.2%		2.4%
Massachusetts (n = 482)	22.9%	15.6%	23.4%	29.2%	4.7%	4.5%
Minnesota (n = 360)	15.9%	36.5%	18.5%	18.2%	7.4%	3.4%
Mississippi (n = 241)	9.9%	38.3%	17.0%	28.7%	3.1%	3.1%
Missouri (n = 358)	14.1%	25.6%	18.5%	34.8%	3.1%	3.8%
Montana (n =108)	24.7%	20.4%	9.2%	34.7%	5.2%	5.2%
Nevada (n = 85)	35.7%	14.3%	16.9%	40.8%		4.8%
New Hampshire (n= 237)	23.7%	9.6%	17.1%	32.0%	8.8%	8.3%

Figure 97 (con't	t): Public Librar	y Outlet Leng	th of Time to C	et Computers	Back in Servi	ce by State
State	Less than one day	One day	Two days	More than two days	Don't know	Other amount of time
New Jersey (n = 454)	23.7%	26.0%	26.1%	18.3%	3.1%	3.1%
New Mexico (n = 120)	12.3%	34.3%	20.8%	23.6%		9.4%
New York (n = 1,069)	18.3%	21.5%	32.2%	18.2%	4.2%	5.6%
North Carolina (n = 380)	9.7%	29.6%	27.7%	25.8%	3.0%	4.3%
North Dakota (n= 91)	7.7%	30.8%	19.0%	19.2%	12.8%	10.3%
Ohio (n = 719)	16.3%	23.2%	34.6%	20.8%	*	4.7%
Oklahoma (n = 207)	23.9%	32.3%	10.9%	24.9%	1.0%	7.0%
Oregon (n = 210)	10.9%	24.4%	20.4%	35.3%	2.5%	6.0%
Pennsylvania (n = 634)	14.1%	21.1%	27.3%	25.2%	4.5%	7.7%
Rhode Island (n = 72)	32.4%	25.7%	5.6%	9.9%	22.5%	5.6%
South Dakota (n= 145)	11.5%	15.1%	44.6%	33.3%	2.9%	13.0%
Tennessee (n = 289)	16.2%	12.1%	11.8%	45.4%	2.6%	12.1%
Texas (n = 859)	13.6%	16.5%	24.4%	27.6%	2.8%	15.0%
Utah (n = 113)	10.0%	42.2%	19.1%	23.9%		5.5%
Vermont (n= 191)	18.4%	25.0%	16.8%	17.8%	15.7%	6.5%
Virginia (n= 341)	18.6%	27.2%	29.3%	23.3%		1.8%
Washington (n= 330)	13.7%	45.0%	18.9%	18.6%	2.5%	1.2%
Washington, DC (n = 27)		100%				
West Virginia (n = 174)	20.1%	23.5%	11.8%	22.9%	4.1%	17.2%
Wisconsin (n = 458)	16.9%	12.1%	20.2%	25.2%	12.8%	12.6%
Wyoming (n = 74)	27.8%	19.2%	19.4%	28.8%		4.1%
National Weighted missing v	16.7% (n=2,622)	24.1% (n=3,784)	24.6% (n=3,766)	23.9% (n=3,766)	4.3% (n=670)	6.5% (n=1,024)

Weighted missing values, n=234 **Key** *=Insufficient data to report

--=No data to report

Figure 97 displays the length of time it takes to get computers back in service. Nevada (35.7 percent) and Rhode Island (32.4 percent) have the highest percentage of libraries that say it takes one day for their computers to be back in service. Libraries that report it takes two days are most often from Maryland (40.2 percent), Utah (42.2 percent), and Washington (45 percent). Delaware (44.8 percent) and South Dakota (44.6 percent) have the most libraries that claim it takes two days.

Figure 98: Public Library Services Available to Users by State Online Video Digitized special Digital reference/ Licensed Homework State instructional Video content E-books **Audio content** Virtual reference databases conferencing Resources collections courses/tutorials Offer Offer Offer Offer Offer Offer Offer Offer Offer Limit Limit Limit Limit Limit Limit Limit Limit Limit Alabama 65.8% 8.9% 77.5% 6.2% 29.8% 3.5% 2.3% 47.3% 6.2% 97.3% 1.2% 76.4% 3.5% 61.5% 4.3% 27.5% 7.8% (n = 278)Alaska 9.7% 12.3% 23.7% 19.5% 73.7% 14.0% 14.0% 5.3% 1.8% 40.7% 4.4% 74.3% 15.9% 62.8% 43.4% 7.9% 9.7% 38.6% (n = 117)Arizona 49.0% 10.7% 86.8% 6.6% 49.2% 1.5% 9.7% 1.5% 46.9% 19.8% 78.2% 11.2% 75.5% 4.1% 50.3% 4.6% 22.3% 5.6% (n = 210)California 6.3% 96.5% 65.3% 5.5% 56.5% 93.8% 48.0% 9.3% 44.8% 77.3% 3.1% 7.3% 77.3% 6.7% 5.9% (n = 1,099)Colorado 81.1% 4.6% 77.7% 2.1% 45.4% 3.8% 13.4% 9.2% 31.8% 13.8% 79.1% 7.6% 68.9% 6.3% 57.7% 6.7% 34.3% 10.1% (n=242)Connecticut 84.3% 1.4% 91.9% 35.2% 4.6% 1.4% 32.3% 5.0% 2.3% 3.7% 4.1% 26.5% (n = 245)Delaware 93.1% 100% 73.3% 3.4% 56.7% 6.9% 96.6% 90.0% 60.0% 41.4% --------(n = 31)Florida 8.0% 41.8% 74.8% 79.8% 93.9% 4.8% 73.4% 7.3% 8.6% 6.1% 66.4% 53.9% 45.0% 2.0% (n = 497)Georgia 51.9% 11.7% 95.9% 3.1% 62.9% 4.1% 2.4% 54.0% 6.8% 71.1% 18.9% 68.4% 15.1% 46.7% 13.4% 55.5% 6.8% (n = 341)Hawaii 67.4% 8.7% 97.8% 100% 32.6% 6.5% 82.6% 2.2% 82.6% 8.7% 28.3% 8.7% 17.4% 8.7% (n = 50)Illinois 64.4% 5.1% 82.9% 6.2% 40.1% 2.0% 3.8% 2.6% 34.3% 6.1% 71.7% 8.0% 59.7% 4.8% 44.3% 6.0% 22.9% 4.2% (n = 794)Indiana 11.0% 81.1% 1.5% 39.8% 14.1% 7.7% 49.1% 10.5% 71.6% 6.6% 59.7% 3.1% 54.6% 6.9% 48.6% 3.8% 51.0% (n = 438)Iowa 36.4% 10.2% 74.9% 7.2% 8.2% 2.2% 9.6% 2.8% 33.5% 11.3% 64.6% 10.9% 67.3% 6.5% 40.6% 6.9% 15.4% 3.8% (n = 563)

Figure 98 (con	t): Publ	ic Library	Services	Availabl	e to User	s by State	е											
State		eference/ eference		nsed pases	E-bo	ooks		deo encing	instru	line ctional /tutorials		ework urces	Audio	content	Video	content		ed special ections
	Offer	Limit	Offer	Limit	Offer	Limit	Offer	Limit	Offer	Limit	Offer	Limit	Offer	Limit	Offer	Limit	Offer	Limit
Kansas (n= 360)	39.5%	8.5%	69.3%	7.6%	53.9%	9.6%	18.1%	5.3%	45.8%	11.4%	90.6%	5.2%	71.9%	9.9%	54.4%	9.4%	24.8%	7.3%
Kentucky (n = 193)	91.9%	-	93.1%	6.9%	43.8%	8.1%	1.3%	6.3%	49.4%	3.8%	73.8%	2.5%	79.2%	1.3%	52.5%	3.8%	30.2%	6.3%
Louisiana (n = 335)	68.9%	4.3%	97.3%		29.4%		1.7%		43.5%	2.7%	74.2%	14.4%	59.0%	9.4%	60.9%	7.0%	44.8%	5.4%
Maine (n= 281)	43.4%	7.5%	73.8%	9.0%	17.2%	6.5%	3.2%	4.3%	26.6%	14.7%	70.5%	12.5%	49.3%	14.7%	38.5%	16.5%	16.5%	8.2%
Maryland (n = 179)	99.4%		100%		95.9%	3.0%	7.1%	15.9%	72.9%	4.1%	100%		97.6%	2.4%	87.0%	*	81.2%	3.0%
Massachusetts (n = 482)	75.5%	9.5%	94.4%	2.1%	74.1%	6.7%	1.4%	1.4%	33.9%	11.1%	80.6%	3.7%	82.6%	3.5%	47.5%	8.5%	42.4%	8.3%
Minnesota (n = 360)	38.1%	12.3%	95.7%		59.3%	8.3%	2.0%	2.0%	48.0%	11.5%	63.9%	4.0%	70.2%	9.2%	59.9%	12.3%	38.7%	4.9%
Mississippi (n = 241)	35.4%	2.2%	99.1%		22.9%	2.2%		8.1%	37.7%	2.2%	84.2%	7.2%	63.1%	4.5%	54.7%	6.7%	30.5%	6.7%
Missouri (n = 358)	52.2%	4.1%	83.5%		45.9%		14.9%	*	48.3%	*	76.3%	3.2%	53.9%	3.2%	57.0%	1.9%	31.0%	2.8%
Montana (n =108)	62.2%	11.3%	98.0%	2.0%	50.0%	7.1%	3.1%	2.0%	41.8%	15.3%	71.4%	5.1%	57.1%	11.3%	44.9%	10.2%	11.2%	11.3%
Nevada (n = 85)	61.9%	4.8%	95.2%	1.2%	56.0%	1.2%	3.6%	3.6%	19.3%	38.1%	91.7%		77.4%	10.3%	67.9%	1.2%	60.7%	4.8%
New Hampshire (n= 237)	29.5%	11.6%	78.6%	8.0%	8.0%	*		1.8%	21.0%	2.7%	64.3%	13.8%	63.8%	9.8%	21.4%	9.8%	12.9%	8.0%
New Jersey (n = 454)	68.4%	5.6%	98.1%	*	53.5%	2.6%	4.2%		42.8%	4.4%	81.7%	2.1%	75.5%	4.4%	46.7%	4.7%	31.1%	4.9%
New Mexico (n = 120)	31.7%	28.8%	83.7%	7.8%	18.3%	3.8%	1.9%		27.2%	10.6%	65.4%	12.5%	44.2%	1.9%	32.7%	9.6%	10.6%	5.8%
New York (n = 1,069)	69.0%	6.0%	91.8%	4.6%	60.2%	10.3%	10.0%	1.6%	41.3%	4.1%	85.1%	3.1%	86.1%	1.5%	52.3%	2.9%	48.9%	4.7%
North Carolina (n = 380)	68.3%	6.2%	93.8%	4.3%	84.7%	5.4%	3.5%	4.8%	57.0%	13.7%	80.6%	3.8%	89.5%	4.3%	69.1%	12.9%	57.8%	9.7%
North Dakota (n= 91)	32.5%	5.2%	67.5%	10.4%	29.9%	7.8%	7.8%	2.6%	31.2%	7.8%	57.1%	13.0%	55.8%	13.0%	36.4%	15.6%	7.8%	7.8%

State		eference/ reference		nsed bases	E-bo	ooks		deo encing	instru	line ctional 'tutorials		ework urces	Audio	content	Video	content		d special ctions
	Offer	Limit	Offer	Limit	Offer	Limit	Offer	Limit	Offer	Limit	Offer	Limit	Offer	Limit	Offer	Limit	Offer	Limit
Ohio (n = 719)	84.7%	4.3%	93.1%	3.4%	80.0%	1.8%	1.3%	7.5%	67.4%	5.6%	94.4%	3.7%	63.5%	18.8%	68.7%	1.5%	60.7%	5.6%
Oklahoma (n = 207)	59.4%	2.0%	88.3%	3.0%	25.9%	11.7%	17.3%	2.0%	38.1%	4.1%	67.0%	5.1%	62.4%	3.0%	39.1%	3.0%	39.6%	3.0%
Oregon (n = 210)	71.8%	5.9%	90.1%	4.5%	42.9%	4.5%	8.9%	3.0%	37.4%	12.8%	83.7%	7.4%	70.4%	3.0%	60.6%	9.4%	33.0%	13.3%
Pennsylvania (n = 634)	82.9%	5.6%	92.3%	2.7%	66.5%	4.8%	3.5%	2.6%	36.9%	13.5%	83.2%	5.3%	77.1%	3.5%	47.6%	4.5%	21.6%	4.5%
Rhode Island (n = 72)	57.7%	5.6%	100%		77.5%	5.6%			25.4%	5.6%	90.1%		94.4%	5.6%	50.7%	10.0%	22.5%	5.6%
South Dakota (n= 145)	58.2%	8.1%	81.3%	6.0%	45.2%	6.7%	5.2%	3.0%	47.4%	11.2%	65.7%	9.7%	53.3%	8.1%	43.3%	15.7%	15.7%	8.2%
Tennessee (n = 289)	58.4%	3.4%	91.4%	1.1%	89.5%	4.5%	1.9%	2.2%	61.8%	4.5%	81.3%	3.7%	84.3%	3.7%	54.7%	3.8%	41.2%	5.2%
Texas (n = 859)	43.3%	7.1%	91.9%	3.4%	51.6%	5.1%	5.8%	2.0%	45.7%	8.4%	73.7%	5.0%	66.8%	3.7%	45.0%	8.9%	25.3%	7.5%
Utah (n = 113)	49.5%	3.7%	91.7%		75.9%	1.9%	6.5%	21.5%	41.7%	3.7%	90.7%	5.6%	89.8%	1.9%	60.7%	12.1%	47.7%	9.3%
Vermont (n= 191)	50.0%	4.9%	78.0%	4.3%	15.2%	4.9%	3.0%	1.8%	18.3%	9.8%	62.0%	4.9%	70.7%	3.0%	44.2%	4.9%	15.2%	4.9%
Virginia (n= 341)	49.1%	3.3%	97.0%	1.8%	55.5%	3.3%	2.1%	1.8%	33.9%	13.6%	67.1%	4.8%	47.3%	12.4%	40.6%	12.4%	30.8%	15.2%
Washington (n= 330)	71.9%	4.4%	98.8%	1.3%	47.8%	*	3.4%		31.9%	10.6%	70.3%	21.9%	67.5%	2.5%	32.5%	5.6%	24.4%	1.9%
Washington, DC (n = 27)			100%		100%						100%		100%		100%			
West Virginia (n = 174)	49.4%	11.0%	89.5%	4.7%	19.8%	8.1%	8.1%	9.9%	45.9%	12.2%	69.0%	11.0%	57.3%	9.9%	39.5%	13.5%	16.9%	11.0%
Wisconsin (n = 458)	73.9%	7.2%	88.7%	3.0%	85.7%	3.7%	2.3%	5.1%	42.1%	10.6%	76.7%	7.6%	92.6%	3.2%	64.6%	8.5%	41.9%	3.9%
Wyoming (n = 74)	66.7%		100%		82.2%		6.9%	1.4%	39.7%	6.9%	80.8%	5.5%	91.7%	-	76.7%		35.6%	11.0%

Figure 98 (con	't): Publ	ic Library	Services	Availabl	e to Users	s by State)											
State	_	reference/ reference		nsed pases	E-bo	ooks		leo encing	instru	line ctional /tutorials	Home Reso	ework urces	Audio	content	Video	content	Digitized collec	d special ctions
	Offer	Limit	Offer	Limit	Offer	Limit	Offer	Limit	Offer	Limit	Offer	Limit	Offer	Limit	Offer	Limit	Offer	Limit
National	62.4% (n=9,726)	6.6% (n=1,023)	89.6% (n=13,948)	3.5% (n=530)	55.4% (n=8,629)	4.7% (n=726)	6.1% (n=948)	3.4% (n=530)	43.3% (n=6,745)	8.7% (n=1,348)	79.6% (n=12,406)	5.9% (n=915)	72.9% (n=11,351)	5.6% (n=877)	51.4% (n=8,003)	7.1% (n=1,099)	36.1% (n=5,621)	6.3% (n=978)

Weighted missing values, n=385

Key *=Insufficient data to report

--=No data to report

Figure 98 presents the breakdown of services that libraries offer full-time or on a limited basis. A substantial majority of libraries in every state offer licensed databases, as well as homework resources. Similarly, audio content is offered in most libraries with the exception of Maine, New Mexico, and Virginia. Delaware and Maryland have the highest percentage of libraries offering digital or virtual reference services (93.1 and 99.4, respectively). Video conferencing is offered the least likely to be offered in libraries in most of the States. Online instructional courses or tutorial and homework resources are most often provided on a limited capacity.

Figure 99: Public Library Peripherals That are Available to Users by State Access and store content Digital camera connection Recreational gaming, and manipulation of **Burn CD/DVDs** consoles, software, or State on USB/other devices (e.g. iPods, MP3, other) content websites Offer Offer Limit Offer Limit Offer Limit Limit Alabama 62.8% 28.7% 3.9% 39.3% 9.3% 34.9% 14.0% 1.9% (n = 267)Alaska 64.6% 67.5% 9.7% 54.0% 53.1% 14.0% 9.7% 7.1% (n = 116)Arizona 86.7% 1.5% 49.0% 10.2% 36.0% 2.5% 66.8% 12.2% (n = 197)California 87.8% 6.2% 44.5% 9.5% 40.7% 3.0% 69.5% 7.8% (n = 1.058)Colorado 80.8% 5.5% 45.8% 15.1% 37.8% 7.1% 58.0% 19.7% (n = 242)Connecticut 15.9% 32.7% 77.7% 34.5% 7.8% 46.4% 16.4% (n = 219)Delaware 90.0% 3.4% 60.0% 56.7% 16.7% 60.0% 3.4% (n = 29)Florida 53.9% 4.1% 1.1% 38.9% 2.7% 81.1% 50.0% 19.3% (n = 459)Georgia 80.8% 14.4% 47.1% 21.2% 30.9% 10.0% 56.2% 8.6% (n = 330)Hawaii 76.1% 19.6% 23.9% 10.9% 2.2% 2.2% 2.2% (n = 49)Illinois 82.9% 2.8% 44.2% 7.7% 45.0% 10.0% 6.8% 57.0% (n = 722)Indiana 89.0% 2.0% 50.9% 14.8% 45.8% 6.4% 66.5% 15.9% (n = 399)Iowa 78.6% 5.9% 53.9% 11.9% 63.0% 9.3% 68.3% 9.3% (n = 530)Kansas 8.2% 9.9% 12.2% 14.6% 74.6% 53.9% 45.6% 61.4% (n = 348)Kentucky 6.9% 5.0% 96.3% 2.5% 63.1% 84.4% 3.8% 64.4% (n = 176)Louisiana 69.9% 8.0% 44.1% 30.1% 26.4% 15.4% (n = 304)Maine 58.4% 37.1% 12.5% 32.7% 12.5% 13.3% 54.1% 13.3% (n = 279)Maryland 94.1% 4.7% 50.9% 4.1% 36.1% 4.1% 72.9% 1.8% (n = 171)Massachusetts 72.7% 9.5% 37.4% 12.0% 47.9% 7.9% 58.0% 7.4% (n = 455)Minnesota 81.9% 12.6% 26.4% 31.3% 56.2% 14.3% 51.3% 21.5% (n = 360)Mississippi 96.0% 6.7% 48.9% 1.4% 53.8% 2.7% 39.6% (n = 229)Missouri 82.6% 43.2% 1.9% 43.7% 8.2% 50.0% 3.2% (n = 319)Montana 82.7% 7.1% 68.4% 8.2% 55.1% 5.1% 63.3% 15.3% (n = 98)

Figure 99 (con't	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			s by State			
State	on USB/othe	store content r devices (e.g. P3, other)	and mani	ra connection oulation of tent	Burn C	D/DVDs	consoles, s	nal gaming, software, or sites
	Offer	Limit	Offer	Limit	Offer	Limit	Offer	Limit
Nevada (n = 84)	45.8%	10.8%	20.2%	20.2%	12.0%	12.0%	26.2%	16.9%
New Hampshire (n= 233)	80.4%	4.0%	53.6%	8.0%	49.6%	11.6%	56.7%	11.6%
New Jersey (n = 438)	82.2%	7.2%	35.3%	4.2%	27.3%	4.4%	42.5%	17.3%
New Mexico (n = 108)	76.0%	7.8%	48.5%	25.2%	51.0%	23.1%	56.7%	12.5%
New York (n = 1,056)	84.3%	4.3%	40.5%	6.4%	32.8%	5.4%	60.5%	8.6%
North Carolina (n = 380)	84.1%	2.2%	39.0%	15.6%	36.3%	4.3%	48.4%	14.7%
North Dakota (n= 79)	62.3%	7.8%	44.2%	10.4%	31.2%	2.6%	21.8%	13.0%
Ohio (n = 688)	74.0%	18.8%	49.7%	7.4%	29.4%	5.1%	84.4%	2.4%
Oklahoma (n = 201)	86.3 %	2.0%	60.9%	2.0%	55.8%		51.8%	4.1%
Oregon (n = 210)	81.8%	9.4%	59.9%	11.3%	20.2%	10.4%	60.4%	14.3%
Pennsylvania (n = 626)	81.9%	7.3%	49.2%	7.7%	48.2%	7.4%	56.6%	12.9%
Rhode Island (n = 71)	90.1%	9.9%	32.9%	5.6%	41.4%	7.1%	60.6%	19.7%
South Dakota (n= 139)	69.4%	12.7%	46.3%	9.6%	45.2%	10.4%	42.5%	17.2%
Tennessee (n = 277)	80.5%	3.4%	23.6%	9.7%	23.2%	8.6%	60.7%	6.0%
Texas (n = 800)	77.8%	5.0%	50.1%	12.2%	50.7%	5.5%	57.3%	9.3%
Utah (n = 109)	87.9%	6.5%	47.2%	7.4%	29.0%	7.4%	43.9%	9.3%
Vermont (n= 186)	81.1%	6.1%	68.9%	4.9%	62.8%	3.0%	59.8%	7.9%
Virginia (n= 339)	89.7%	5.8%	52.4%	15.8%	57.0%	7.3%	48.3%	18.5%
Washington (n= 326)	91.6%	4.7%	65.3%	5.9%	59.1%	9.1%	60.3%	22.5%
Washington, DC (n = 24)	100%		100%		100%		100%	
West Virginia (n = 172)	81.4%	10.5%	45.3%	12.9%	57.6%	12.8%	32.0%	19.2%
Wisconsin (n = 453)	87.3%	5.1%	52.2%	8.1%	46.5%	7.4%	61.2%	12.0%
Wyoming (n = 74)	95.9%		94.5%		71.2%	1.4%	75.3%	1.4%

Figure 99 (con't)	: Public Libra	ary Peripheral	s That are Ava	ailable to Users	s by State			
State	Access and s on USB/other iPods, MF	devices (e.g.	and mani	ra connection pulation of itent	Burn C	D/DVDs	consoles, s	nal gaming, software, or sites
	Offer	Limit	Offer	Limit	Offer	Limit	Offer	Limit
National	81.4% (n=12,685)	6.5% (n=1,016)	47.9% (n=7,465)	9.5% (n=1,486)	42.9% (n=6,682)	6.7% (n=1,041)	57.2% (n=,905)	11.5% (n=1,791)

Key *=Insufficient data to report

--=No data to report

In addition to the services offered in Figure 98, libraries may make peripherals available to patrons. Figure 99 reports the percentages of libraries by states that provide such peripherals. Libraries in most states allow access and store content on USB flash drives or other devices such as an iPod, mp3 player. Wyoming (94.5 percent) and Washington, D.C. (100 percent) had the greatest percentage of libraries with a digital camera connection and allowed the manipulation of content; whereas, Kentucky (84.4 percent) and Washington, D.C. (100 percent) had the greatest percentage of libraries that offered the ability to burn CDs or DVDs. Washington, D.C., again, had the most libraries that allowed recreational gaming, consoles, software, or websites. The state with the second highest percentage was Ohio (84.4 percent). In comparison with the national percentages for each peripheral offered, the states with the highest percentages for offering digital camera connection, the ability to burn CDs or DVDs, and recreational gaming and the like were substantially greater.

Figure 100: Fac Access to User	ctors That Prevent Public	Libraries from Provid	ling Services or Req	uired Limited
State	Computer hardware/software will not support the services	Public access Internet connectivity speed will not support the service(s)	Library policy restricts offering or access	Library cannot afford to purchase and/or support services
Alabama (n = 267)	53.3%	7.8%	38.5%	70.1%
Alaska (n = 116)	47.4%	44.9%	37.1%	56.7%
Arizona (n = 197)	63.3%	26.7%	34.1%	51.4%
California (n = 1,058)	50.6%	35.7%	32.6%	53.3%
Colorado (n= 242)	51.6%	28.0%	26.3%	69.4%
Connecticut (n = 219)	48.5%	8.5%	41.2%	71.5%
Delaware (n = 29)	69.2%	22.2%	30.8%	48.1%
Florida (n = 459)	63.0%	20.9%	44.7%	44.1%
Georgia (n = 330)	66.7%	28.9%	35.0%	59.0%
Hawaii (n= 49)	57.8%	77.8%	68.9%	46.7%
Illinois (n = 722)	49.1%	15.0%	31.4%	67.6%
Indiana (n = 399)	53.1%	18.6%	20.9%	71.5%
lowa (n = 530)	59.8%	9.6%	22.0%	66.1%
Kansas (n= 348)	58.0%	11.9%	31.3%	58.5%
Kentucky (n = 176)	62.3%	23.4%	35.8%	56.9%
Louisiana (n = 304)	56.9%	21.2%	43.9%	32.5%
Maine (n= 279)	55.0%	4.6%	26.2%	71.0%
Maryland (n = 171)	62.1%	15.0%	34.5%	35.7%
Massachusetts (n = 455)	60.6%	21.8%	34.1%	49.7%
Minnesota (n = 360)	55.0%	25.5%	36.2%	54.7%
Mississippi (n = 229)	52.4%	21.0%	39.5%	52.7%
Missouri (n = 319)	55.3%	7.5%	33.7%	69.7%
Montana (n =98)	63.2%	25.3%	26.3%	67.1%
Nevada (n = 84)	38.9%	22.2%	69.4%	36.1%

Limited Access t): Factors That Prevent o Users			
State	Computer hardware/software will not support the services	Public access Internet connectivity speed will not support the service(s)	Library policy restricts offering or access	Library cannot afford to purchase and/or support services
New Hampshire (n= 233)	68.6%	16.0%	25.7%	61.7%
New Jersey (n = 438)	40.2%	16.4%	46.1%	54.2%
New Mexico (n = 108)	79.6%	26.9%	20.7%	57.0%
New York (n = 1,056)	57.8%	19.2%	41.6%	54.7%
North Carolina (n = 380)	53.0%	32.9%	34.9%	57.6%
North Dakota (n= 79)	64.2%	18.2%	41.8%	58.2%
Ohio (n = 688)	48.1%	17.2%	19.4%	68.3%
Oklahoma (n = 201)	75.7%	3.9%	14.5%	50.0%
Oregon (n = 210)	45.7%	20.0%	27.6%	62.2%
Pennsylvania (n = 626)	56.7%	19.0%	36.1%	58.7%
Rhode Island (n = 71)	18.2%	40.0%	13.0%	83.6%
South Dakota (n= 139)	61.0%	27.6%	27.6%	74.8%
Tennessee (n = 277)	55.4%	15.5%	59.2%	43.3%
Texas (n = 800)	56.2%	20.1%	34.0%	59.5%
Utah (n = 109)	58.9%	4.4%	53.3%	40.7%
Vermont (n= 186)	70.3%	12.6%	19.5%	64.6%
Virginia (n= 339)	51.8%	35.4%	26.1%	61.2%
Washington (n= 326)	56.9%	42.8%	21.6%	73.6%
Washington, DC (n = 24)	100%			
West Virginia (n = 172)	45.7%	18.1%	34.8%	47.1%
Wisconsin (n = 453)	73.9%	20.2%	19.1%	53.5%
Wyoming (n = 74)	72.0%	25.5%	5.9%	33.3%
National	55.4% (n=7,054)	21.7% (n=2,766)	33.2% (n=4,231)	58.9% (n=7,500)

Some libraries were not able to offer the services listed in Figures 98 and 99. Figure 100 shows the libraries' reasons for not being able to provide the aforementioned services. Similar to the 2007-2008 survey results, Washington, D.C. also had all of its libraries report that computer hardware/software will not support the services. The greatest percentages of libraries that report that public access Internet connectivity speed will not support the service(s) and that the library policy restricts offering or access were in Hawaii (77.8 and 68.9, respectively). Over eighty percent of libraries in Rhode Island report that the library cannot afford to purchase and/or support services.

Figure 101:	Public Ac	cess Inte	rnet Serv	ices Critic	cal to the	Role of th	ne Public	Library O	utlet by S	tate	ı		1
State	Provide education resources & databases for K-12 students	Provide education resources & databases for students in higher education	Provide education resources & databases for home schooling	Provide education resources & databases for adult/continuing education students	Provide information for local economic development	Provide information for college applicants	Provide information about the library's community	Provide information or databases regarding investments	Provide access to government information and services	Provide computer & Internet skills training	Provide services for job seekers	Provide services to immigrant populations	Other
Alabama (n = 278)	97.6%	70.1%	51.8%	60.1%	20.2%	25.7%	21.3%	5.5%	42.1%	24.0%	64.0%	1.6%	2.4%
Alaska (n = 117)	57.5%	33.6%	27.4%	39.8%	24.8%	12.4%	31.9%	9.7%	76.1%	27.4%	62.8%	17.7%	22.9%
Arizona (n = 210)	65.1%	36.9%	34.2%	47.3%	20.9%	4.8%	18.3%	12.8%	74.2%	43.5%	65.8%	22.6%	15.5%
California (n = 1,099)	89.2%	28.8%	16.8%	44.6%	21.9%	6.5%	26.4%	7.5%	54.0%	47.0%	75.5%	32.5%	15.4%
Colorado (n= 242)	78.4%	40.5%	43.3%	55.8%	23.4%	10.3%	21.1%	5.2%	53.9%	41.8%	57.6%	19.0%	12.5%
Connecticut (n = 245)	80.1%	27.8%	22.6%	35.0%	18.1%	3.2%	35.9%	10.6%	46.3%	36.6%	60.2%	14.8%	31.0%
Delaware (n = 31)	72.4%	41.4%	41.4%	27.6%	13.8%		23.3%		63.3%	63.3%	82.8%	30.0%	3.4%
Florida (n = 497)	61.2%	20.7%	32.8%	50.4%	31.8%	8.4%	30.4%	10.4%	85.1%	31.3%	61.9%	20.7%	10.6%
Georgia (n = 341)	89.8%	50.3%	46.9%	66.4%	36.7%	7.5%	15.6%	6.1%	44.7%	18.4%	74.5%	8.8%	4.4%
Hawaii (n= 50)	71.7%	32.6%	28.3%	34.8%	32.6%	15.2%	15.2%	19.6%	76.1%	10.9%	65.2%	13.0%	28.3%
Illinois (n = 794)	80.9%	50.8%	23.6%	46.7%	15.6%	15.8%	24.8%	13.9%	57.4%	40.9%	61.5%	8.9%	10.5%
Indiana (n = 438)	81.0%	31.9%	39.6%	48.3%	12.1%	5.3%	20.8%	2.9%	75.1%	50.4%	78.9%	6.6%	13.8%
lowa (n = 563)	76.5%	35.7%	29.2%	52.8%	18.3%	14.5%	22.7%	3.0%	64.0%	37.0%	66.8%	8.5%	8.5%

Figure 101 (con't): Pເ	ıblic Acce	ss Intern	et Service	s Critical	to the Ro	ole of the	Public Lik	orary Out	et by Sta	te		
State	Provide education resources & databases for K-12 students	Provide education resources & databases for students in higher education	Provide education resources & databases for home schooling	Provide education resources & databases for adult/continuing education students	Provide information for local economic development	Provide information for college applicants	Provide information about the library's community	Provide information or databases regarding investments	Provide access to government information and services	Provide computer & Internet skills training	Provide services for job seekers	Provide services to immigrant populations	Other
Kansas (n= 360)	69.3%	41.2%	33.6%	50.3%	19.3%	21.4%	24.4%	8.0%	64.4%	28.8%	65.6%	7.4%	13.1%
Kentucky (n = 193)	80.4%	40.1%	48.7%	60.8%	19.7%	12.0%	24.2%	2.5%	38.6%	38.6%	57.6%	3.8%	15.3%
Louisiana (n = 335)	74.9%	52.7%	50.8%	53.3%	31.4%	22.7%	21.4%	2.3%	55.5%	32.4%	50.2%	-	30.8%
Maine (n= 281)	67.5%	29.8%	41.5%	41.9%	19.5%	8.1%	32.0%	6.6%	71.3%	26.5%	63.2%	2.6%	29.4%
Maryland (n = 179)	95.9%	34.1%	51.8%	53.5%	51.8%	5.9%	42.9%	5.9%	53.5%	32.9%	23.1%	5.9%	16.5%
Massachusetts (n = 482)	77.8%	26.6%	29.1%	51.5%	16.6%	5.1%	33.0%	3.2%	50.0%	33.3%	56.3%	22.7%	25.5%
Minnesota (n = 360)	75.5%	22.4%	39.1%	40.3%	11.3%	7.5%	20.0%	2.4%	68.4%	29.6%	55.2%	25.1%	17.6%
Mississippi (n = 241)	97.3%	60.6%	33.2%	67.3%	15.4%	16.3%	7.2%	*	55.7%	22.7%	55.9%	*	3.2%
Missouri (n = 358)	77.6%	37.3%	44.7%	55.0%	25.6%	11.8%	18.8%	12.5%	60.1%	39.0%	69.0%	12.1%	11.2%
Montana (n =108)	57.1%	29.6%	32.7%	38.8%	24.7%	22.4%	33.7%	7.1%	61.8%	35.7%	65.3%	2.0%	20.4%
Nevada (n = 85)	85.7%	23.8%	35.7%	34.5%	1.2%	9.5%	21.7%	9.5%	63.9%	53.6%	47.6%	16.7%	15.5%
New Hampshire (n= 237)	69.4%	15.9%	35.2%	52.1%	16.8%	1.8%	36.5%	8.2%	59.5%	28.8%	85.4%	2.7%	20.0%
New Jersey (n = 454)	83.4%	35.5%	15.0%	47.7%	23.2%	7.1%	20.2%	18.3%	62.2%	39.2%	77.5%	24.6%	9.7%
New Mexico (n = 120)	71.2%	31.7%	28.8%	55.8%	16.5%	30.8%	18.3%	7.8%	69.2%	35.9%	62.5%	9.7%	25.0%

Figure 101 (con't): Ρι	ıblic Acce	ss Intern	et Service	s Critical	to the Ro	ole of the	Public Lil	orary Outl	et by Sta	te		
State	Provide education resources & databases for K-12 students	Provide education resources & databases for students in higher education	Provide education resources & databases for home schooling	Provide education resources & databases for adult/continuing education students	Provide information for local economic development	Provide information for college applicants	Provide information about the library's community	Provide information or databases regarding investments	Provide access to government information and services	Provide computer & Internet skills training	Provide services for job seekers	Provide services to immigrant populations	Other
New York (n = 1,069)	78.9%	46.4%	27.4%	54.7%	17.5%	15.9%	33.1%	7.7%	64.9%	41.6%	56.6%	6.0%	19.7%
North Carolina (n = 380)	86.0%	48.8%	37.2%	68.5%	22.1%	8.9%	24.3%	5.7%	51.2%	26.7%	80.1%	12.1%	7.0%
North Dakota (n= 91)	61.3%	16.0%	25.3%	36.0%	36.0%	10.7%	36.0%	10.7%	68.0%	34.7%	66.7%	16.0%	18.7%
Ohio (n = 719)	79.4%	47.4%	36.2%	36.5%	22.4%	9.3%	18.1%	6.0%	53.2%	44.6%	71.0%	2.4%	29.3%
Oklahoma (n = 207)	86.1%	31.3%	37.3%	31.0%	38.8%	16.9%	27.4%	13.9%	61.0%	34.3%	59.2%	5.5%	7.0%
Oregon (n = 210)	73.4%	20.5%	45.2%	49.0%	18.1%	7.5%	32.2%	8.5%	67.8%	31.2%	69.8%	11.0%	19.5%
Pennsylvania (n = 634)	81.4%	37.1%	43.6%	57.1%	21.3%	11.6%	22.9%	9.4%	67.6%	33.1%	69.4%	7.4%	10.3%
Rhode Island (n = 72)	90.0%	21.1%	25.4%	54.9%	14.3%		21.4%	5.6%	70.4%	37.1%	87.3%	7.1%	27.1%
South Dakota (n= 145)	78.4%	34.8%	31.9%	55.6%	10.4%	15.7%	23.0%	3.0%	50.7%	23.9%	48.5%	7.5%	30.4%
Tennessee (n = 289)	77.7%	40.0%	40.8%	47.9%	20.4%	16.2%	22.6%	2.3%	63.8%	32.5%	74.3%	8.3%	13.2%
Texas (n = 859)	71.8%	50.0%	32.3%	51.9%	18.1%	22.5%	24.6%	6.6%	65.2%	31.5%	67.3%	12.2%	12.6%
Utah (n = 113)	83.2%	33.6%	28.7%	46.3%	22.4%	5.6%	36.4%	13.0%	67.6%	32.7%	62.0%	19.4%	12.1%
Vermont (n= 191)	48.4%	18.9%	32.1%	44.7%	19.5%	17.6%	24.7%	5.0%	62.9%	34.0%	49.1%	2.5%	43.0%
Virginia (n= 341)	75.9%	23.8%	36.1%	60.7%	15.2%	6.7%	34.5%	5.8%	76.8 %	37.6%	62.2%	11.7%	14.7%
Washington (n= 330)	80.5%	33.6%	55.7%	38.3%	27.9%	4.0%	8.4%	9.7%	54.5%	24.5%	69.9%	12.0%	21.1%

Figure 101 (con't)։ Pւ	ublic Acce	ss Intern	et Service	s Critical	to the Ro	ole of the	Public Lil	orary Outl	et by Sta	te		
State	Provide education resources & databases for K-12 students	Provide education resources & databases for students in higher education	Provide education resources & databases for home schooling	Provide education resources & databases for adult/continuing education students	Provide information for local economic development	Provide information for college applicants	Provide information about the library's community	Provide information or databases regarding investments	Provide access to government information and services	Provide computer & Internet skills training	Provide services for job seekers	Provide services to immigrant populations	Other
Washington, DC (n = 27)	100%	100%			100%				100%	100%			
West Virginia (n = 174)	82.6%	56.4%	46.2%	57.0%	15.1%	19.8%	25.1%	1.2%	53.5%	22.2%	58.7%	1.2%	17.5%
Wisconsin (n = 458)	73.5%	28.6%	37.5%	57.7%	16.6%	10.6%	29.1%	12.2%	57.0%	31.5%	64.4%	7.7%	13.6%
Wyoming (n = 74)	79.2%	31.9%	46.6%	47.9%	26.4%	4.1%	24.7%	6.9%	76.7%	19.2%	71.2%	11.0%	8.3%
National	78.6% (n=12,079	37.4% (n=5,743)	34.2% (n=5,265)	49.5% (n=7,617)	21.0% (n=3,231)	12.2% (n=1,868)	25.1% (n=3,863)	7.1% (n=1,095)	60.9% (n=9,359)	35.5% (n=5,463)	65.9% (n=10,129)	11.4% (n=1,747)	16.1% (n=2,472)

Weighted missing values, n=587

Key *=Insufficient data to report

--=No data to report

According to Figure 101, a majority of libraries in every state provide education resources and databases for K-12 students; these resources and databases were most often provided by libraries in Alabama (97.6 percent), Washington, D.C. (100 percent), and Mississippi (97.3 percent). Less than a majority of libraries in every State provide information about the library's community, services to immigrant population, information for economic development, databases regarding investments, or other serve as another role not listed. In regards to other services that are critical to the role of the library, California (47.0 percent), Delaware (63.3 percent), and Washington, D.C. (100 percent) had the greatest percentage of libraries that provide computer and Internet skills training. Florida (85.1 percent) and Washington, D.C. (100 percent) have the most libraries that provide access to government information and services.

Figure 102: E-Government Roles and Services of the Public Library System by State									
State	Staff provide assistance to patrons applying for or accessing e- government services	Staff provide as-needed assistance to patrons for understanding and using e- government resources	Staff provide immigrants with assistance in locating immigration-related services and information	The library offers training classes regarding the use of e-government resources	The library is partnering with others to provide egovernment services	The library has at least one staff member with significant knowledge and skills in the provision of egovernment services	Other	The library does not provide e-government services to its patrons on a regular basis	
Alabama (n = 278)	59.3%	74.7%	36.8%	2.7%	7.0%	17.1%	16.7%	3.1%	
Alaska (n = 117)	42.3%	76.6%	18.0%	1.8%	11.8%	14.4%	25.5%	10.0%	
Arizona (n = 210)	47.7%	77.2%	44.7%	6.6%	8.1%	19.8%	22.8%	1.5%	
California (n = 1,099)	45.0%	84.7%	47.7%	13.2%	13.3%	22.6%	11.5%	4.2%	
Colorado (n= 242)	58.1%	80.6%	41.4%	7.0%	10.1%	17.2%	15.0%	*	
Connecticut (n = 245)	59.1%	76.3%	34.0%	8.8%	15.7%	20.8%	14.9%	1.4%	
Delaware (n = 31)	63.3%	76.7%	43.3%		33.3%	10.0%	17.2%		
Florida (n = 497)	51.9%	93.8%	46.7%	8.6%	22.2%	23.5%	3.0%	*	
Georgia (n = 341)	68.2%	71.6%	38.4%	5.2%	9.3%	17.3%	13.1%	8.7%	
Hawaii (n= 50)	52.2%	89.1%	39.1%		10.9%	17.4%	8.7%	6.5%	
Illinois (n = 794)	50.5%	77.1%	32.5%	4.0%	9.2%	14.9%	20.4%	1.1%	
Indiana (n = 438)	64.1%	76.3%	30.4%	13.0%	31.2%	28.0%	10.1%	7.1%	
lowa (n = 563)	59.3%	71.9%	18.7%	5.1%	4.5%	13.8%	23.2%	2.0%	
Kansas (n= 360)	52.9%	74.2%	22.1%	1.8%	13.9%	21.2%	24.9%	1.2%	

State	Staff provide assistance to patrons applying for or accessing e- government services	Staff provide as-needed assistance to patrons for understanding and using e- government resources	Staff provide immigrants with assistance in locating immigration-related services and information	The library offers training classes regarding the use of egovernment resources	The library is partnering with others to provide egovernment services	The library has at least one staff member with significant knowledge and skills in the provision of egovernment services	Other	The library does not provide e-government services to its patrons on a regular basis
Kentucky (n = 193)	51.9%	79.7%	13.1%	7.8%	26.0%	12.3%	20.3%	
Louisiana (n = 335)	70.2%	64.7%	20.5%		15.4%	4.5%	24.9%	
Maine (n= 281)	49.4%	76.5%	9.9%	2.2%	13.2%	20.2%	16.2%	4.0%
Maryland (n = 179)	77.6%	87.0%	64.6%	8.7%	28.6%	19.9%	*	*
Massachusetts (n = 482)	42.8%	73.6%	35.3%	*	4.2%	17.7%	21.4%	2.7%
Minnesota (n = 360)	64.0%	94.4%	50.4%	7.1%	25.7%	13.3%	4.7%	2.4%
Mississippi (n = 241)	61.8%	76.4%	35.7%		22.6%	18.6%	19.6%	
Missouri (n = 358)	48.7%	77.7%	13.6%	2.9%	14.9%	20.1%	23.3%	
Montana (n =108)	51.1%	80.6%	15.1%		2.2%	18.3%	17.4%	3.3%
Nevada (n = 85)	36.9%	79.8%	33.3%	10.7%	9.5%	21.7%	15.5%	
New Hampshire (n= 237)	54.4%	81.9%	13.5%	*	4.2%	14.0%	13.0%	8.8%
New Jersey (n = 454)	58.6%	83.1%	47.5%	9.1%	9.3%	19.1%	13.4%	5.0%
New Mexico (n = 120)	53.1%	84.7%	39.2%	12.4%	11.3%	42.3%	15.3%	4.1%
New York (n = 1,069)	52.6%	81.7%	35.9%	21.7%	13.9%	29.9%	12.9%	1.9%

Figure 102 (con't): E-Government Roles and Services of the Public Library System by State									
State	Staff provide assistance to patrons applying for or accessing e- government services	Staff provide as-needed assistance to patrons for understanding and using e- government resources	Staff provide immigrants with assistance in locating immigration-related services and information	The library offers training classes regarding the use of e-government resources	The library is partnering with others to provide egovernment services	The library has at least one staff member with significant knowledge and skills in the provision of egovernment services	Other	The library does not provide egovernment services to its patrons on a regular basis	
North Carolina (n = 380)	47.8%	83.2%	28.1%	8.6%	11.9%	17.0%	11.4%	4.1%	
North Dakota (n= 91)	40.6%	63.8%	21.7%	5.8%	8.7%	11.6%	36.2%	5.8%	
Ohio (n = 719)	50.5%	81.6%	18.2%	9.0%	15.9%	15.3%	13.3%	4.2%	
Oklahoma (n = 207)	72.1%	83.2%	42.1%	16.8%	26.9%	32.0%	10.2%	2.0%	
Oregon (n = 210)	45.7%	84.9%	24.1%	6.0%	10.5%	21.6%	11.5%	1.5%	
Pennsylvania (n = 634)	56.1%	82.5%	24.9%	4.9%	14.5%	23.7%	11.2%	3.6%	
Rhode Island (n = 72)	52.9%	92.9%	52.9%	7.1%	19.7%	9.9%	5.6%		
South Dakota (n= 145)	45.2%	70.2%	6.5%	4.8%	5.6%	13.7%	23.4%	1.6%	
Tennessee (n = 289)	59.2%	82.1%	29.8%	3.8%	12.6%	16.0%	13.0%	6.5%	
Texas (n = 859)	56.9%	77.9%	44.7%	8.8%	7.1%	25.4%	19.3%	2.8%	
Utah (n = 113)	65.1%	85.8%	49.5%	9.4%	10.5%	27.6%	12.3%	1.9%	
Vermont (n= 191)	53.6%	82.9%	25.7%	7.8%	8.6%	19.6%	17.1%	1.3%	
Virginia (n= 341)	53.3%	91.9%	34.0%	15.6%	26.4%	29.6%	7.5%	2.2%	
Washington (n= 330)	55.5%	87.5%	37.3%		8.2%	8.8%	10.0%	-	

Figure 102 (con't): E-Government Roles and Services of the Public Library System by State									
State	Staff provide assistance to patrons applying for or accessing e- government services	Staff provide as-needed assistance to patrons for understanding and using e- government resources	Staff provide immigrants with assistance in locating immigration-related services and information	The library offers training classes regarding the use of e-government resources	The library is partnering with others to provide egovernment services	The library has at least one staff member with significant knowledge and skills in the provision of egovernment services	Other	The library does not provide e- government services to its patrons on a regular basis	
Washington, DC (n = 27)	100%	100%		100%		100%			
West Virginia (n = 174)	57.7%	69.5%	16.7%	8.9%	6.0%	20.2%	25.6%	2.4%	
Wisconsin (n = 458)	52.5%	79.0%	30.7%	3.2%	12.0%	16.0%	16.0%	3.2%	
Wyoming (n = 74)	58.7%	85.5%	35.5%		14.5%	6.5%	12.7%		
National	54.1% (n=8,133)	80.5% (n=12,095)	32.1% (n=4,822)	8.4% (n=1,262)	13.4% (n=2,016)	21.0% (n=3,151)	2.8% (n=428)	14.6% (n=2,195)	

Weighted missing values, n=935

Key *=Insufficient data to report

--=No data to report

As presented in Figure 102, the majority of libraries in every state have staff that provides as-needed assistance to patrons for understanding and using e-government resources. This category also has a high percentage at the national level. Maryland had the highest percentage of libraries to provide assistance with locating immigration related services and information. With the exception of Washington, D.C., less than a majority of libraries do the following: provide training classes regarding the use of e-government resources, partner with others to provide e-government services, have at least one staff member with significant knowledge and skills in the provision of e-government services, and another category not mentioned in the survey. However, most states have relatively low percentages of libraries that do not offer any e-government services.